THE BEFORE ILLINOIS POLLUTI	Page 1 ON CONTROL BOARD
IN THE MATTER OF: AMENDMENTS TO 35 ILL. ADM. CODE 225.233 MULTI-POLLUTANT STANDARDS (MPS)	<pre>) R18-20) (Rulemaking -) Air))</pre>

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, taken before
HEARING OFFICER MARIE TIPSORD, by Lisa Hahn Peterman,
CSR, RMR, a notary public within and for the County
of Macon and State of Illinois, at the Illinois
Environmental Protection Agency, 1021 North Grand
Avenue East, Springfield, Illinois, on the 29th day
of January, 2019, at 10:00 a.m.

* * * * *

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES:	٠ - ر
2	ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD James R. Thompson Center	
3	100 West Randolph Center Suite 11-500	
4	Chicago, Illinois 60601-3233 312-814-6983	
5	BY: MS. MARIE TIPSORD, HEARING OFFICER;	
6		
7	ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD MEMBERS	
8	PRESENT:	
9	Ms. Katie Papadimitriu, Chairman	
10	Ms. Brenda Carter, Board Member	
11	Ms. Carrie Zalewski, Board Member	
12	Mr. J. Mark Powell, Senior Attorney	
13	Mr. Anand Rao, Senior Environmental Scientist	
14	Ms. Cynthia Santos, Board Member	
15	Ms. U-Jung Choe, Board Member	
16		
17	ALSO APPEARING:	
18	MS. TANYA RABCZAK,	
19	Attorney Advisor to Chairman Papadimitriu	
20	MR. DANIEL PAULEY Attorney Advisor to Board Member, U-Jung Choe	
21		
22		
23		
24		

January 29, 2019

```
Page 3
1
     APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2
     ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
     1021 North Grand Avenue East
3
     P.O. Box 19276
     Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276
     217-782-5544
4
     BY: MR. DAVID BLOOMBERG
5
          MR. RORY DAVIS
          MS. GINA ROCCAFORTE
6
          MS. ANTONETTE R. PALUMBO
7
              Appeared on behalf of the Illinois
              Environmental Protection Agency;
8
9
     OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS ATTORNEY GENERAL
     69 West Washington Street
10
     Suite 1800
     Chicago, Illinois 60602
     312-814-0600
11
     BY: MR. STEPHEN J. SYLVESTER
12
              Appeared on behalf of the People of the
          State of Illinois;
13
     SCHIFF HARDIN
14
     233 Souther Wacker Drive
15
     Suite 6600
     Chicago, Illinois
                        60607
     312-258-5769
16
     BY: MR. JOSHUA R. MORE
17
          MR. RYAN GRANHOLM
18
              Appeared on behalf of Dynegy;
19
     LAW OFFICE OF FAITH E. BUGEL
     1004 Mohawk Road
20
     Wilmette, Illinois 60091
     312-282-9119
2.1
     BY: MS. FAITH E. BUGEL
22
              Appeared on behalf of the Sierra Club;
23
24
```

January 29, 2019

```
Page 4
 1
     A P P E A R A N C E S: (Continued)
     ENVIRONMENTAL LAW & POLICY CENTER
     35 East Wacker Drive
 3
     Suite 1600
     Chicago, Illinois 60601
     BY: MR. JUSTIN VICKERS
 4
              Appeared on behalf of the Environmental Law
 5
               & Policy Center.
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

		Page 5
1	I N D E X	
2	OPENING COMMENTS	7
3	WITNESS ANDREW ARMSTRONG	
4	QUESTIONS BY MS. RABCZAK	12
5	QUESTIONS BY MS. PAPADIMITRIU	15
6	QUESTIONS BY MR. MORE	17
7	QUESTIONS BY MS. RABCZAK	20
8	QUESTIONS BY MR. MORE	26
9	QUESTIONS BY MS. SANTOS	32
10	QUESTIONS BY MS. PAULEY	34
11	QUESTIONS BY MR. VICKERS	35
12	QUESTIONS BY MS. PAPADIMITRIU	45
13	QUESTIONS BY MS. CARTER	49
14	WITNESS DAVID BLOOMBERG	
15	QUESTIONS BY MR. MORE	36
16	QUESTIONS BY MS. RABCZAK	38
17	QUESTIONS BY MR. MORE	39
18	QUESTIONS BY MR. RAO	40
19	QUESTIONS BY MS. RABCZAK	41
20	QUESTIONS BY MR. RAO	51
21	QUESTIONS BY MS. CARTER	51
22	QUESTIONS BY MR. RAO	53
23	QUESTIONS BY MS. RABCZAK	53
24		

		Page 6
1	I N D E X	
2	WITNESS JAMES GIGNAC	
3	QUESTIONS BY MS. RABCZAK	55
4	WITNESS DAVID BLOOMBERG	
5	QUESTIONS BY MS. RABCZAK	59
6	QUESTIONS BY MR. SYLVESTER	60
7	QUESTIONS BY MR. RAO	62
8	QUESTIONS BY MS. RABCZAK	62
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

January 29, 2019

Page 7 1 (Start time: 10:06.) 2 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: All right. 3 think we're going to go ahead and begin. As most 4 of you who attended the pre-hearing conference 5 know, we're going to open the hearing and then 6 recess, so good morning. 7 My name is Marie Tipsord and I've been 8 appointed by the Board to serve as Hearing Officer 9 in this proceeding entitled Amendments to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 225.233 Multi-Pollutant Standards (MPS). 10 11 With me today to my immediate left is 12 Chairman, Katie Papadimitriu. At the far left is 13 Board Member, Brenda Carter. To Chairman 14 Papadimitriu's left is Tanya Rabczak, her Attorney 15 Advisor. To my immediate right is Senior Attorney 16 Mark -- J. Mark Powell. To his right, Anand Rao, 17 from our technical unit; and, at the end, our 18 newest attorney, Daniel Pauley, who's acting as 19 Attorney Advisor to U-Jung Choe, as well as being a 20 staff attorney. 2.1 We were supposed to hear testimony 22 today and allow for oral comment. We scheduled the 23 hearing to begin at 10:00 a.m. to -- just in case, 24 to be sure to accommodate all testimony, but due to

January 29, 2019

Page 8 1 the amount of testimony and questions that were received before this hearing, we will recess until 2 3 noon and we will begin hearing testimony at noon, so we're recessed. 4 5 (Hearing recessed at 10:09 a.m. to 6 commence again at 12:00 noon.) 7 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Good 8 afternoon, everyone. Thank you for coming back 9 promptly. Again, my name is Marie Tipsord. 10 been appointed by the Board to serve as Hearing Officer in this proceeding entitled Amendments to 11 35 Ill. Adm. 225.233, Multi-Pollutant Standards 12 13 (MPS). 14 With me today to my immediate left is 15 Chairman Katie Papadimitriu, the Presiding Board To the far left is Board Member, Brenda 16 Member. 17 To the far right is U-Jung Choe, a Board Carter. 18 Member, and next to her on her left is Board 19 Member, Cynthia Santos. Next to Chairman 20 Papadimitriu is her Attorney Advisor, Tanya 2.1 Rabczak. To my immediate right is Senior Board 22 Attorney, J. Mark Powell. To his immediate right 23 is Anand Rao from our Technical Unit, and at the 24 end of the table is Attorney Advisor, Daniel

January 29, 2019

Page 9

1 Pauley.

2.0

2.1

Thank you, everyone. The purpose of today's hearing is to hear testimony from the witnesses who prefiled testimony and to allow for oral public comment.

The hearing was opened at 10:00 a.m., and we recessed due to the amount of testimony and questions received and we are now proceeding at 12 o'clock noon.

We will begin first with testimony from the Illinois Attorney General's Office. After we have entered the testimony, we will take questions, and after that, we will move to testimony from the Environmental Law and Policy Center and Sierra Club, and we'll enter that and take questions after the testimony is entered.

Before we conclude the testimony today, I will ask the Agency to come forward. We will enter the Agency's December 10, 2018, Responses to Board Questions as an exhibit, as it did not receive a public comment number, so for ease of drafting, we'll give it an exhibit number.

I will then also ask if anyone has questions they wish to direct to the Agency. While

January 29, 2019

Page 10

the Agency has not provided testimony, they may either choose to answer or answer those in public comment at the end.

2.1

At the conclusion of the testimony today, if there's anyone who signed up to offer oral public comment this afternoon, we will allow that comment. If no one is present at that time, we will recess and reconvene at 5:30 to hear oral public comments. At this time, I have eight people who have signed up for public comment this evening.

And, as a reminder, anyone may ask a question today and follow up with prefiled questions, which there were none. I do ask that you raise your hand, wait for me to acknowledge you. After I've acknowledged you, please state your name and who you represent before you begin your questions.

Please speak one at a time. If you speak over each other, the court reporter will not be able to get your questions on the record.

Also, you may ask any follow-ups you'd like as we go along. Thank you very much. And I'd note that any questions asked by Board Members or staff are intended to help build a complete record

	Page 11
1	for the Board's decision and not to express any
2	preconceived notion for filings.
3	So before we go to the testimony, does
4	anybody have any opening statements they want to
5	make this morning this afternoon? All right.
6	Then we'll go to the Illinois Attorney General's
7	Office.
8	MR. SYLVESTER: With regard to
9	admitting the exhibits, the testimony, do you want
10	to wait until after
11	HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: After you're
12	sworn in. If you could swear in Mr. Armstrong.
13	(Witness sworn.)
14	If there's no objection, we will admit
15	the prefiled testimony from December 10, 2018, on
16	behalf of the Attorney General by Andrew Armstrong
17	as Exhibit Number 48. Are there any objections?
18	Seeing none, it's Exhibit 48.
19	
20	ANDREW ARMSTRONG
21	called as a witness, being first duly sworn, was
22	examined and testified as follows:
23	
24	

	Page 12
1	HEARING OFFICER TIPSWORD:
2	Mr. Armstrong, would you like to summarize your
3	testimony, or do you want to go straight to any
4	questions?
5	MR. ARMSTRONG: We could go straight to
6	questions.
7	HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: All right.
8	Are there any questions for Mr. Armstrong?
9	What's the number on that, Mike?
10	MR. SYLVESTER: Number 1.
11	HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Any
12	questions? Going once. Do we have any questions?
13	EXAMINATION
14	BY MS. RABCZAK:
15	Q. On page 4 of your testimony, you
16	suggested the Board identify in the Board note the
17	mothballed unit at the time of the Board's
18	decision. My question is, the way that the rules
19	are proposed is that IEPA will be notified about
20	mothballed units at the end of the compliance
21	period, so they will learn which units were
22	mothballed during the entire compliance period.
23	In light of that, will you please
24	explain, why do you think the Board note is still

Page 13

important, listing all of the mothballed units at the time of the Board decision?

2.1

A. Well, I think that I would provide two reasons why I think it would be helpful to have that in the rule.

One, we have right now a unit that has been mothballed for some time, and I think it would be appropriate to identify to the public, that is, reviewing the rule, that this unit has been mothballed, and then accordingly, if it remains mothballed, then the limits within the caps within the rule would have to be modified accordingly. So I think that that's one reason.

The other reason, two, is that in my testimony, I also had suggested a slightly different approach to mothballing, which was that, you know, for a unit that's mothballed, a unit that's mothballed for less than the entire compliance period also should be treated as a mothballed unit for purposes of reduction of the overall cap.

So if the Board were to accept that proposal from our office, then I think the note of what units are currently mothballed would be even

Page 14

more helpful to somebody who's trying to figure out what the rule means and requires.

2.1

- Q. So the second proposal was units to be treated as mothballed units, if they worked for some parts of the compliance period. That's the prorated, right, approach? That's prorating?
- A. Well, I think that actually does not require proration. We did suggest proration would be appropriate, but as I discussed on page 10 to 11 of my testimony, for example, you could have a scenario where, if a unit is mothballed on July 1st of a particular calendar year, it still could be separated from the MPS group and compliance evaluated for that mothballed unit separately from the rest of the MPS group. The rest of the MPS group would then be evaluated, based on a reduced emissions cap, just taking out the mothballed unit.

So just as we suggest for retired units or permanently shutdown units or temporarily shutdown units, it's possible to kind of sever those units away from the MPS group as a whole and evaluate compliance of each separately.

Proration would occur if you wanted to -- proration would occur -- if you followed that

	Page 15
1	idea, proration would occur when you're looking at
2	the shut-down unit, and you would say, Okay. Well,
3	this unit was shut down for six months. It should
4	only really get six months of credit of its cap in
5	order to evaluate compliance.
6	So I think that proration is not
7	technically necessary, though, as I argued in my
8	testimony, it would be the most environmentally
9	protected way to do it.
10	MS. PAPADIMITRIU: Can I ask a
11	follow-up to that?
12	EXAMINATION
13	BY MS. PAPADIMITRIU:
14	Q. Mr. Armstrong, good morning. Katie
15	Papadimitriu, Chairman of the Board.
16	So thank you for that clarification. I
17	wonder if so from the environmental side, that
18	makes sense, but from an energy perspective, since
19	no planned shutdown can occur during the cooling
20	months, is your question as relevant as perhaps you
21	think it is?
22	A. I'm sorry. If no plant can shut down
23	during the
24	Q. The cooling period, so between May and

Page 16 1 September. 2 Oh, okay. Okay. So I think it --Α. 3 Does that change your opinion? 0. 4 sorry to interrupt. I think that in that question you said 5 6 with respect to the proration specifically? 7 Uh-huh. Ο. 8 Α. I think that -- I think the proposal of 9 proration is still relevant in the sense that the 10 amount of time that a unit could operate during any particular year is subject to certain limits, but 11 12 at the same time, it's clear that a unit could 13 operate for three months or nine months, or, you know, any variation of time within that year. 14 15 So I think it's appropriate to 16 recognize that if a unit is, for example, closed 17 down at the very beginning of the year, that one 18 would expect to see far less than a full year's 19 worth of pollution from that unit. 2.0 MS. PAPADIMITRIU: Okay. Thank you. 2.1 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Go ahead. 22 Josh. 23 Mr. Armstrong --MR. MORE:

Identify

HEARING OFFICER TIPSWORD:

24

Page 17 1 yourself for the record. 2 MR. MORE: I'm sorry. Josh More, Schiff Hardin, on behalf of Vistra. 3 4 EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. MORE: 6 I understand the concept. Let's use 7 the hypothetical where you need to shut down for 8 six months, you'd mentioned, and it should only be 9 awarded 50 percent of the emissions that are set 10 forth in the proposal. Is that the proration 11 concept that you're proposing? 12 Yes. So the proration -- and just so 13 I'm a hundred percent clear, the proration would be 14 applied to a unit that has been either temporarily 15 or permanently shut down. 16 Thank you. In your experience, is 0. 17 generation constant throughout the year? 18 Α. No. 19 And, therefore, is it correct, then, 20 that there are periods of time during the year 2.1 where generation is greater than other periods of 22 time? 23 Α. Yes. 24 And, in turn, during those periods

	Page 18
1	where generation is higher, emissions are also
2	higher, correct?
3	A. Yes. I think that would typically be
4	the case.
5	Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that the
6	generation pattern is generally speaking,
7	generation is higher during the winter months and
8	summer months, as opposed to during the spring and
9	fall?
10	A. Yes, the shoulder months.
11	Q. Thank you. So the shoulder months or
12	the shoulder seasons, generation and, in turn,
13	emissions, are typically lower, correct?
14	A. I believe that's the case.
15	Q. So if a unit were to operate during
16	winter and summer months for a total of six months,
17	the generation that would occur during that
18	six-month period would be expected to be higher
19	than the six-month period where it was temporarily
20	shut down, correct?
21	A. Sorry. Could you repeat that?
22	Q. Okay. So in light of this idea that
23	there are periods where emissions are higher during

winter and summer versus the shoulder months,

24

Page 19

proration, a straight proration, doesn't it run the risk of penalizing a unit that runs during the winter and summer, waiting a total of six months, and then the shutdown for the spring or mothballed for the spring and fall because its generation -- the ratio isn't one for one?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Well, I think that hypothetical would -- it depends upon the idea of a unit that would not just operate in that fashion but would be shut down during the seasons when it's not running and then reopen with the regional transmission organization, and I'm not sure that that's a very realistic scenario. But, to answer your question, I do agree that there are different levels of generation, and accordingly, different levels of emissions throughout the course of the year, and I would be open to anybody's thoughts about what they think a more fair way to do proration would be, but I don't think that any technical difficulties presented by that concern would support just abandoning the concept of proration and, instead, saying if the plant operates for one day out of the year, compliance should be assessed by its annual emissions.

Page 20

I think that if anybody has concerns about the way proration works as I propose it, they should present a refinement, because the alternative is, instead, not protective of the environment and would allow -- and not consistent with the MPS.

EXAMINATION

BY MS. RABCZAK:

2.1

Q. Page 8, 7-8 of your testimony, talks about permanent shutdown. I just wanted to clarify, in your testimony you suggested that the owner of shut-down units does not report on it, and IEPA filed a supplementary answer, Additional Suggested Amendments, addressing that. Does that change the way your testimony is written in terms of clarification?

HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: And, for the record, that's Public Comment 2931.

A. IEPA's proposal, I think, would be protective in the sense that it makes clear that a permanently shut-down unit has to be accounted for.

In effect, I think it's actually -- the way it would be work would be more stringent than what I proposed in my testimony, so I don't have

Page 21

any objection to it. My only remaining ask would be that permanently shut-down units should be treated the same way as temporary shut-down units.

- Q. So speaking about the mothballed or temporarily shut-down units, you mention on page 12 of your testimony that the generating -- the owner of the generating unit sends the plants notice.
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And that the units might not be mothballed for more than 36 months at a time. On the other hand, IEPA mentioned that units might not know for how long they will be mothballed. Would you be able to comment on that a little bit more?
- A. So I don't in any way hold myself out as subject matter expert on MISO or their tariff. My observation was just that if you look at the MISO tariff, they have built into that a 36-month limit on the -- I believe the cessation -- and I think at that point the unit either -- and I don't want to speak out of turn, but I think at that point the unit, if it's going to remain shut down for longer, would be considered retired.

So in terms of a unit not knowing the exact duration of a shutdown or cessation, that may

Page 22

be the case, but I believe that when a notice is sent to MISO, there is to be some indication of the length.

- Q. Do you know the rules that require the direct advanced notice to MISO? Do you know what they're required to do? Do you have any details on that that you can provide?
 - A. So...

2.1

Q. I guess the questions I have and somebody else has, would the unit operator know in advance or would they know at the end of the period for how long it's going to be shut down?

I mean, if you don't have an answer to that right now, if you would like to comment on it afterwards, you'll be welcome.

A. Certainly, I think I can provide some additional thoughts on it in post-hearing comments, and I'm looking now at page 9, and, you know, to be honest, I'll have to get another look at it to determine whether, in fact, an operator has to specify an anticipated length of cessation, but the MISO tariff itself refers to suspension as cessation of operation for more than two months commencing on a specified date. So I think by the

January 29, 2019

Page 23

time that somebody sends a suspension, they are planning to be out for more than two months, and, you know, given that that's the case that you know that's going to be at least two months out of a 12-month period, it seems like it would not be difficult then to segregate that unit from the rest of the units.

2.1

And, again, what I'm trying to avoid, as I tried to make the case in my testimony, what I'm trying to avoid here is a situation here where, if you have a permanent shutdown on the first day of the year that the other remaining units don't get to use the emissions that are allocated to that unit to increase their operations.

- Q. But wouldn't that happen during the compliance period? So under the rules as proposed, it will be adjusted for that compliance period?
- A. That's right. Under IEPA's clarification, the limit would be adjusted for -- the limit would be adjusted downward, based upon the permanent retirement.

What I would try to avoid, though, is with a temporary shutdown, if a unit under the current rule were shut down, say, on January 15th,

Page 24

the cap would not be adjusted in the remaining units, and the remaining units would basically get the full annual allocation for that temporarily shut-down unit. So even -- so if you had a permanently shut-down unit on January 15th or a temporarily shut-down unit on January 15th, the remaining units would have a different cap to comply with, and that result, to me, doesn't seem like a good one.

2.1

See, I feel that they should be treated similarly for environmental reasons, but also just, you know, as a matter of rational policy.

- Q. In your testimony you suggest that the operators should send the notice they send out to IEPA as well. Would that be helpful in any case if IEPA will learn about duration of the shutdown at the end of the compliance period? How would they know this would help them if, for example, the notice indicated it was going to be shut down for two months and then IEPA then -- each compliance period gets information about that two-month shutdown anyway? How would the notice to RTO help them?
 - A. I just want to make sure I see my

Page 25

testimony on this.

2.1

Q. I think it's page 12 and 13. So on page 12 you mentioned at the very end, We, therefore, propose that subsection (f)(3) -- and that is Section 225.223(f)(3) be amended to also require the MPS owner to include a copy of the notice sent to the RTO to evidence the start date of the temporary shutdown.

A. So, first, I think I should correct what appears to be in error when I say subsection (f)(3). That should probably be referring to subsection (h)(3) because subsection (h) refers to -- that subsection (h) is about temporary shutdown of EGUs, as opposed to sale or permanent shutdown. So (h)(3) is currently proposed by the Board. It states that if one or more EGUs in an MPS group are temporarily shut down over an entire compliance period, the owner or operator must notify the Agency's Bureau of Error and Compliance Section in writing within seven days after the start date of the shutdown.

So it seemed to me that the Board was saying that it was looking for contemporaneous -- notice of the shutdown contemporaneously with the

January 29, 2019

Page 26 1 start date of the shutdown. So my thinking with 2 requiring the notice to the RTO would be that if 3 the operator is going to be required to provide 4 official notice to the RTO of an official date, I 5 mean, that's very important for their continued 6 operation within the organization. 7 That would be good -- that would be 8 good information to also share with IEPA because that's a real official statement and real clear 9 indication of what the beginning of the -- of what 10 the start date of a shutdown is. 11 12 Q. Okay. And --13 MR. MORE: If you don't mind. 14 HEARING OFFICER TIPSWORD: Oh, sorry. Go ahead, Mr. More. 15 16 EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. MORE: 18 Mr. Armstrong, does that notification 19 provide any value to the Agency from a compliance 20 perspective if the cap is only reduced, as 2.1 currently proposed by the Board, when the shutdown 22 occurs for the entire compliance period? 23 Well, it very well could in the sense Α. 24 that -- it very well could in the sense that it's

Page 27

- very possible that there will be a number of temporary and permanent shutdowns with the MPS group going forward. The Agency needs to track all of this and figure out how the rules apply. I think it would -- and I don't want to speak for the Agency, but I think that would be beneficial for the Agency to know of any type of shutdown when it happens so that it can appropriately track compliance with the rule and figure out what the caps are going to be.
 - Q. But am I correct in my read of the current Board proposal, which is, that the cap is only reduced in the instance of a temporary or permanent shutdown if that shutdown occurs for the duration or the entirety of the compliance period?
 - A. No. I think you're wrong.
 - Q. Okay. Help me.

2.1

A. I think what's being proposed for permanent shutdowns is that if there's a permanent shutdown during the compliance period, let's say, the 2020 annual compliance period, I believe that what's being proposed is if there is a permanent shutdown of a unit during the 2020 compliance period, the overall caps, the annual caps for the

	Page 28
1	MPS group are reduced to reflect the permanent
2	shutdown for the 2020 compliance period, and,
3	accordingly, the permanently shut-down unit could
4	be shut down for a day, six months, or a full year,
5	and the cap would be reduced. Temporarily
6	shut-down units as proposed are treated
7	differently.
8	Q. And the permanent shutdown occurs when?
9	A. As proposed.
10	HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: It's on page
11	17 of the Appendix. It's, like, page 17 of the
12	Appendix. Do you have that?
13	THE WITNESS: So I want to just make
14	sure I get the words right here. I've got it right
15	here.
16	I believe under the current proposal
17	it's defined as the date on which the MPS owner or
18	operator submits a written request to modify or
19	draw the relevant operating permit to reflect the
20	shutdown.
21	Q. And a temporary shutdown for purposes
22	of reducing the cap occurs when?
23	A. A temporary shutdown as proposed, the
24	cap would be reduced only if the temporary shutdown

January 29, 2019

Page 29 1 has continued for the entire compliance period. 2 Insofar as if a unit is temporarily shut down on 3 January 2nd, 2020, there would be no impact to the 4 annual compliance cap until the 2021 calendar year. 5 That is my understanding of the rule. 6 MS. RABCZAK: That's a permanent 7 shutdown you're talking about. 8 THE WITNESS: Temporary. 9 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Excuse me. 10 Just for the record, we're speaking here about subsections (g) and subsections (h) of the rule, 11 12 which are on pages 17 through, like, 21 of the 13 Addendum, for people who want to follow along. 14 THE WITNESS: Just to explain my 15 reasoning on that, if there's a temporary shutdown on January 2, 2020, it -- that unit would not have 16 17 been mothballed for the entire 2020 annual 18 compliance period and, accordingly, the annual caps 19 would not be adjusted for 2020. No annual caps 20 would be adjusted until 2021 if that annual -- if that mothballed unit remained mothballed. 2.1 22 And, I mean, you know, you look at --23 you look at the history of mothballing in Illinois, 24 the one example we can point to here, of course,

Page 30

with the Vistra fleet is Baldwin 3, which has been mothballed since October of 2016.

2.1

We don't have examples here of mothballed plants that kind of flit in and out of operation, as Mr. More suggested. What we see are units getting mothballed and not reopening, and I can provide additional examples of that in my post-hearing comments, but the idea that somehow, well, it might be mothballed with the RTO for six months and then come back into operation, that does not seam realistic to me based on my observations.

- Q. Do you agree that the MISO tariff allows for that to happen? In fact, it contemplates that a unit may only be mothballed for two months, isn't that correct?
- A. I think that plants can be mothballed for different durations of time. I think that what happens versus what is allowed, though, may be a different story.
- Q. And am I correct that if Unit 3,
 Baldwin Unit 3, remains mothballed for the entirety
 of 2019 as the MPS has currently amended or is
 being proposed by the Board, then the cap -- the
 NOx and SO2 cap would be reduced, correct?

Page 31

- A. Yes. And that's exactly why we think a Board note would be appropriate.
- Q. But that only occurs if Baldwin 3 remains mothballed, correct?
- A. Correct, of which there's probably a 99.9 chance of that occurring, if not higher.
- Q. You're not proposing to reduce the cap today, are you, with the expectation that Unit 3 remains mothballed?
- A. Am I proposing to reduce the cap for annual caps for the MPS group based on Baldwin 3 being mothballed today?
 - O. Yes.

2.1

A. Under my proposal, or my office's proposal, rather, Baldwin 3 would basically just be severed from the rest of the MPS group. Baldwin 3 would be assessed based on its allocated emissions, and the rest of the MPS group would be assessed by its allocated emissions.

So if we're talking about the cap for the rest of the MPS group, other than Baldwin 3, yes, we believe that cap should be adjusted because that's the way it works for permanently shut-down units, and there's really, when you come down to

	Page 32
1	it, not that much difference between temporarily
2	and permanently shut-down units when it comes to
3	their emissions.
4	MS. PAPADIMITRIU: Can I just clarify,
5	Mr. More and Mr. Armstrong? Definitions matter.
6	By mothballing, you're referring to a temporary
7	shutdown, is that correct?
8	MR. ARMSTRONG: I've been using them
9	somewhat interchangeably.
10	MS. PAPADIMITRIU: Okay. And,
11	Mr. More, is your answer the same?
12	MR. MORE: Yes, in my questions and
13	answers.
14	MS. PAPADIMITRIU: Thank you.
15	MS. SANTOS: May I ask a question?
16	EXAMINATION
17	BY MS. SANTOS:
18	Q. So are you then proposing that there be
19	a defined period of mothballing?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Well, I mean, is it a change that you'd
22	like to see happen where a unit could only be
23	temporarily mothballed for a set amount of time, or
24	are you proposing to change that? Because if

Page 33

you're referring to Baldwin 3 and you're saying it's been mothballed since 2016, is that what you're saying? So are you saying that that's too long to be a temporarily mothballed unit?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

No. I don't have an issue with a temporarily mothballed unit. I should try and be clear about exactly what I think is at stake here. I think that in the case of Baldwin Unit 3, which has been mothballed since October 2016 as Mr. More and I have had a back and forth about, if Baldwin 3 does remain mothballed or temporarily shut down for the rest of 2019, then the cap would be adjusted. It would not be allocating any emissions, and I think that result is correct. What I'm most worried about is really what happens in the first year of shutdown, where if you've got, as I was using with my example before, if you've got a plant that is a unit that is permanently shut down on January 15th, the cap is adjusted to reflect the shutdown of that unit, the permanent shutdown of that unit, if that unit were temporarily shut down on January 15th, under the current proposal, the cap would not be adjusted. So you've got really a disparity between the treatment of the permanent

January 29, 2019

Page 34

and temporary shutdowns in the year of the shutdown.

Now, if you go on to the second year, if you look at the second year, if the temporary shutdown continues into the second year, the rules as proposed at this point do recognize the temporary shutdown and do adjust the cap accordingly, but I just think it would be more appropriate for the temporary shutdown to be reflected in the year of the temporary shutdown.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. PAULEY:

2.1

Q. Just to clarify, when you -- you're saying that the cap doesn't get adjusted if it does temporarily shut down on January 15th for the entire year, but you're not meeting the ozone season for NOx, correct? If it's shut down on January 15th and it remains temporarily shut down through the compliance period and it was temporarily shut down through the ozone seasons, when you say that --

A. Right, right. I see where you're going, and I should have clarified that I was talking about the annual compliance period. In

January 29, 2019

Page 35

that case, if it was shut down on January 15th, it would be shut down for the entire ozone season, and accordingly, the cap for the ozone season would be adjusted. That is correct.

MR. RAO: Only for NOx.

THE WITNESS: Only for NOx. Only for

NOx.

2.1

MR. VICKERS: Justin Vickers from the Environmental Law & Policy Center.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. VICKERS:

Q. Mr. Armstrong, I just want to ask a clarifying question about the temporary suspension or the suspension versus the shutdown. You said there was 36 months under the RTO that it can be temporarily suspended. Do you know if the plant owner has to inform MISO in advance when they make their attachment by request whether or not they intend to shut down or temporarily suspend? Is there a distinction made in their request to the RTO that would -- I just want to see if we had a clarification. I'm happy to point the Board to the attachment in our post-hearing comments, but I figured if you wouldn't mind giving clarification,

January 29, 2019

Page 36 1 you could answer. 2 Unfortunately not, but hopefully that Α. 3 will be addressed in post-hearing comments. 4 I have a question for the MR. MORE: 5 Agency in this concept. From a regulatory 6 compliance perspective in programs other the MPS, 7 how do you manage or account for emissions from a 8 unit that is identified as an operating unit under 9 its Title 5 operating permit but operations have ceased for a period of time, whether it be a month 10 or three months or six months? 11 12 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Does the 13 Agency wish to answer that? And, if so, let's have 14 you sworn in. 15 MR. BLOOMBERG: I'll try. 16 (Agency witnesses sworn.) 17 DAVID BLOOMBERG 18 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, was 19 examined and testified as follows: 20 EXAMINATION BY MR. MORE: 2.1 22 So, David, the scenario is you have a Q. 23 unit that has an operating permit, and the unit has 24 ceased operations for a period of time, two months,

Page 37

let's say, in a year. How does the Agency account for the potential emissions from that unit in connection with compliance for other air requirements for modeling, for example, your air modeling? Do you account for that unit being --

2.1

A. The answer would be very case-specific because most rules are not like this rule, or this proposed rule or this proposed change, in that they don't have overall emission counts. So if a unit was temporarily shut down, presumably they'd be abiding by all environmental regulations at that point, other than, of course, they would have to do whatever normal reporting would be done.

For modeling purposes, that gets -most modeling, if not all of the type that I think
you're alluding to, would be done on the basis of
allowables, so if there's nothing prohibiting the
source from reopening in any modeling that we were
doing of that type, the source would be included in
that modeling.

- Q. Even though it had been temporarily shut down for a period of time?
- A. Yes, as long as they are allowed to reopen.

EXAMINATION

Page 38

2.1

BY MS. RABCZAK:

Q. In your IEPA's answers on page 6, it's the answer to the question number 6, you mentioned that USEPA policy considers the source that was shut down for two years to be permanently shut down, which is a rebuttable presumption. Could you please comment on how the Illinois EPA presumed to be shut down for two years?

So we can take the example of Baldwin 3. Again, Baldwin 3 is permanently shut down unless the presumption is rebutted, and what does that mean in term of Illinois EPA and the permits you have to deal with under the MPS rules?

- A. We will need to address that in post-hearing comments. We do not have the permits personnel here to answer that.
- Q. And going back to the MPS, we still have a separate definition of mothballing, right?
- A. I don't believe there's any definition of the term mothballing, and I know it's been used interchangeably, but there actually is a term for mothballing that is different from the way the Agency has suggested as the definition for

Page 39

temporary shutdown.

2.1

Q. But I will correct myself. So we have a definition for temporary shutdown in the rule, which considers a unit to be temporarily shut down if it's been shut down for the entire compliance period. So, in terms of Baldwin 3, would that mean that during this entire three years, if the MPS was adopted a long time ago, it would be considered permanently or temporarily shut down and the cap would be adjusted at that time?

A. Yes.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. MORE:

- Q. David, a question for you in connection with your answer to number 6. This idea of permanent shutdown would not preclude the company from restarting that unit and complying with new source review permitting requirements, isn't that right?
- A. Again, I'll answer that in -- we will answer that in post-hearing comments to make sure that we have the appropriate permits personnel involved.

HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Since we've

	Page 40
1	been referring to the document which was filed on
2	December 10th, the Illinois Environmental
3	Protection Agency's Responses to Board Questions
4	Set Forth in Hearing Officer Order dated October 4,
5	2018, if there's no objection, I'm going to admit
6	that as Exhibit 49 for ease of writing and
7	reference. Seeing none, it's Exhibit 49.
8	MR. RAO: David, I have a question
9	following up on what you were asked.
10	EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. RAO:
12	Q. If a unit shuts down permanently and
13	then a utility decides to restart it, will it still
14	be part of the MPS group?
15	A. I'm not sure off the top of my head.
16	We will take a look at that.
17	Now, whatever happens, if they have to
18	reopen under NSR, they will have a number of
19	stringent requirements on them anyway, but I don't
20	know that they would be added back to the MPS
21	group.
22	Q. If you could confirm it.
23	A. In the proposed changes, there is
24	let me go back here 225.233(g)(1)(a).

Page 41

- Q. Is this your response?
- A. This is in the --
- Q. Addendum?
- A. In the addendum, yeah. It states that if one or more EGUs are permanently shut down, such EGUs are no longer part of the MPS group, and I do not believe there is anything that provides for the reopening of a permanently shut-down unit. So without that, it would be permanently out.

EXAMINATION

BY MS. RABCZAK:

2.1

- Q. The IEPA under those rules, then we have a definition of permanently shut down and it occurs on the date that owner or operator of EGU submits a written request to the Agency to modify the permit or to withdraw the permit, that's (g)(1)(c). So the question I have is, if the operators did shut down but they never submitted the request to the Agency, and then they changed their mind and resumed operations, for the Agency purposes, would that unit be considered to be permanently shut down?
- A. I guess I would say that, by definition, they would not have shut down to begin

Page 42

with.

2.1

- Q. So the owner and operator may decide to shut down, and then three months, six months, nine months later change their mind, and for the combined period, it would not matter?
- A. Well, I mean, the way the Agency's proposal is, under that time period, depending on how long it was and where it fell, if it spanned an entire compliance period, then whether or not they reported it as a temporary shutdown, it would be treated as a temporary shutdown, so they wouldn't have to report anything to MISO or to the Agency. If they're not operating for whatever reason through an entire compliance period, they don't get the allocation for that unit.
- Q. So another more broad hypothetical is that the unit can be doing that for three years, five years, it will be considered mothballed under that rule but it will never be considered permanently shut down until they actually receive the request.
- A. When you say "doing that," do you mean going up and down?
 - Q. No. I mean, for the business purposes,

2.1

Page 43

they might shut down, but since they've never submitted the request to the IEPA to withdraw the permit, that under the MPS rules it would be considered mothballed because it would not be operating during the compliance period for year one, year two, year three, but since they've never submitted the request to withdraw the permit or modify the permit, you could not consider them permanently shut down under the MPS rules, is that correct?

- A. Under the Agency's proposal, yes, they would be considered temporarily shut down, and for whatever compliance period that spans, they would get no allocation for it.
- Q. Again, it's probably a question that you'll be answering anyway in your comments, but when does the Agency consider a unit to be permanently shut down outside of MPS?
- A. Yeah. That will be something we'll answer in post-hearing comment.
- Q. And just one quick follow-up from that.

 I just want to understand why the date the Agency receives a request to modify a permanent withdrawal permit is an important date. Does that mean that

Page 44

the Agency considered that unit no longer operational as of that date, not as of the date the Agency makes the decision that they withdraw the permit or they modify the permit?

- A. That date is the cleanest way to look at it. There is no interpretation required, and that is also only for the purpose of this rule. It has -- you know, we're not going to use that for any other reason, so, you know, permitting, new source review, that has their own requirements, but for the purpose of this rule, that is the cleanest way to ensure that's when the shutdown occurred.
- Q. Can there be any manipulation if, for instance, the unit sent it to you December 31st and you received it January 5th -- these are two different compliance years -- is there any room for issues from the date the Agency received it rather than any other date?
- A. We generally use postmark date, and, I believe, is it received or -- it's the date they submit it. So if they submitted it on December 31st, they would lose their allocation for that entire year, so it would be kind of the opposite.

2.1

Page 45

1 QUESTIONS FOR MR. ARMSTI

BY MS. PAPADIMITRIU:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Q. Mr. Armstrong, I hope it's an easy question because you've been asked a lot of questions. I'd like to go back to the initial discussion you had with Mr. More, and to refresh everyone's memories, because that feels like a long time ago, you talked about -- the two of you talked about seasonality and generation output and corresponding emissions output, and that -- I believe that the two of you agreed and that Mr. More stated, and of which you've agreed, but I'm going to ask Mr. More that I said it correctly and I'm going to ask you if I recall you agreeing with it correctly, that Mr. More suggested that, given that generation output varies, and let's just say seasonally, that emissions would be higher in certain months and lower in other months.

Mr. More, did I get that correct?

MR. MORE: That is correct.

BY MS. PAPADIMITRIU:

Q. Okay. Mr. Armstrong, is that your recollection of what Mr. More said, and if it is, do you still agree with it?

Page 46 1 Yes, I agree that output and emissions 2 definitely can vary over the course of a year, 3 depending on the demand for electricity as a whole. 4 And so you spoke about shoulder months. 5 I don't know if I interrupted you. 6 Α. No, no. 7 Ο. So I heard that correctly? Yes, yes. 8 Α. 9 Q. So going now to your proration 10 proposal. 11 Uh-huh. Α. 12 Are you suggesting or proposing that Q. 13 the Board prorate based on the month, given some 14 amount of output, given what you and Mr. More 15 agreed to? And I realize I ended on a preposition. 16 So I also would like to tie a thread Α. 17 about the question that I was asked after that 18 discussion. 19 0. Okay. 20 Because I think it is important that, 2.1 first of all, based on the Agency's additional 22 suggested Amendment to 225.233(q)(1)(c) related to 23 permit shutdown. So if the Board is going to adopt

that approach where the cap for the MPS group is

24

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/4/2019

January 29, 2019

Page 47

1 adjusted during the compliance period of a 2 permanent shutdown downward, but the calculations 3 to determine compliance with that adjustment limitation include emissions from the shutdown 4 5 unit, basically, no proration is necessary whatsoever because the emissions from the shut-down 6 7 unit basically have to be accounted for in the 8 adjusted emissions cap itself.

So if the Board proceeds with the Agency's proposal on this point, we don't think that any proration should be necessary for any permanent shutdown, just to be clear on that.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

If the Board were -- if the Board were to treat permanent shutdowns that way and then also treat temporary shutdowns the same way, which we think should happen that they should be treated on equal footing, no proration would be necessary for temporary shutdowns at all either.

If the Board were to take our approach and say, Okay, well, if you've got a temporary shutdown for the last six months of the year, then our proposal is that you look at it on a calendar year/day basis and you basically allocate emissions just based on days of operation, period.

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/4/2019

January 29, 2019

Page 48

Now, you know, certainly, I recognize that units operate at different amounts different times of the year. One thing I would note is that if you're looking at the unit that was shut down temporarily in June, for example, or July 1st, for example -- and I'm just, you know, not talking about what would happen, I'm talking about the mechanics of the rule -- I mean, you'd be talking two equal halves of the year, so the variation in the amount -- the amount of variation over the course of the year would be somewhat muffled because you basically have still got two different seasons in that six-month period.

O. So --

2.1

A. But to answer your question, if I could just -- I'll finish up really quickly.

Q. Yeah.

A. Yeah. As we propose it, proration would involve just looking at it on 365 or 366 days in a year and then dividing it by the amount of operation, and I recognize that is not the finest way that somebody could do it. There could be other approaches that would be more attuned to the variation over the course of a year. I haven't

Page 49 1 proposed one, but, you know, I could certainly 2 think about that for post-hearing comments. 3 But my bigger point, I guess, is that, one, so long as we're treating permanently shut 4 5 down and temporarily shut down alike, that, I 6 think, is our biggest concern; and, two, when we're 7 talking about proration, some sort of proration to 8 us is better than just ignoring the issue. 9 Q. So if I understand you correctly, every 10 day would be treated the same. 11 Α. Yes. 12 Even though you agree with Mr. More's Q. 13 assertion that seasonality occurs, again, in your 14 example of shoulder months. 15 Α. Yes. 16 Then I understand it correctly. 0. Okay. 17 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Are there any 18 other questions for Mr. Armstrong? 19 MS. CARTER: I have a question. 2.0 BY MS. CARTER: 2.1 Mr. Armstrong, thank you for giving us 22 feedback on the Board's questions. I appreciate

And I just have a clarifying question for

our request in regard to how the EPA would enforce

23

24

that.

Page 50

the proposed revised MPS rule provisions, and would you suggest filing or publicly maintaining on their website some of the compliance reports and notices and reports, and do you have an example that you could point us to where that is a requirement and how that is monitored or -- just monitored?

2.1

A. So, really, what I was thinking of when I thought of that is under the recent federal coal ash regulations, it is required for companies to maintain on their websites publicly documents related to coal ash compliance. There is a lot more of those documents, I think, than what would be required under this rule, but the basic concept is that you have a repository where the public can go to and see, you know, relevant documents that are needed to assess compliance with the rule.

I did give some thought to making the Agency do it, but then I thought they might not like that, so I suggested that it could be the company. I do not think it would be burdensome, it would just be a way of having available to the public these documents that are important for allowing people to see how the rule is working.

MS. CARTER: Thank you.

Page 51 1 MR. RAO: I have a follow up. This is 2 to the Agency. 3 QUESTIONS DIRECTED TO THE AGENCY 4 (MR. BLOOMBERG) 5 BY MR. RAO: 6 Is the Agency aware of any air 7 permitting regulations or requirements that you 8 implement that requires maintenance of websites and 9 provide the types of information that --That the Agency maintain a website or 10 11 that the company? 12 The company that the Agency requires of Q. 13 them. 14 Α. I am unaware of any. We can check into 15 If we find any, we'll -it. 16 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: If you could 17 also check with other media, not just air. 18 MR. RAO: Thank you. 19 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Anything 2.0 else? 2.1 MS. CARTER: I have a follow-up to 22 that. 23 BY MS. CARTER: 24 On the Agency's business portal for

Page 52

permitting, the Agency -- do they only put on that website permits, or do they also put on any other kind of required submittals from companies?

2.1

- A. I can't answer specifically for the business portal because I'm not really sure what that is, but we do put other things on our website. Public notices, in particular, we have been putting on our website. Sometimes we'll put drafts of other items on there, but public notices are the biggest thing.
- Q. I think it's a permitting portal or something like that on the Agency's website, but I was just curious. I don't recall what all specifically is required to be posted on that, and I was just curious if it could be a vehicle or something like this, if it were to occur.

MR. ARMSTRONG: Just an additional observation. IEPA has available on its websites, for example, discharge monitoring reports under the NPDES program, so monitoring reports database, so there are examples of where the Agency makes available to the public documented and submitted by the regulated entities so that the public can help determine compliance.

Page 53 1 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Anything 2 else? 3 MR. RAO: And this is again for David, 4 since we're talking about reporting requirements. 5 BY MR. RAO: 6 The Illinois Attorney General Office's 7 proposed changes to Section 233.225(f)(3) requiring 8 additional information to be included in annual 9 reports required under the rules, and I'd like the 10 Agency to comment on whether these -- basically 11 what they want is notices of transfers, permanent 12 shutdowns and temporary shutdowns to be included in 13 the reports, so I'm not sure if the Agency could 14 comment on whether those additional requirements 15 are acceptable to the Agency. Α. There's a number of cross-references 16 17 there, so rather than me trying to wrap my head 18 around this right now, I think it would be better 19 and less complicated for us to respond in 20 post-hearing comments. 2.1 MR. RAO: I would think so. Thank you. 22 MS. RABCZAK: Again, follow-up. 23 BY MS. RABCZAK: 24 How would the public be able to access Q.

	Page 54
1	that information, any information submitted by the
2	owners and operators of EGUs to the Agency,
3	including shutdowns, permanent and temporary, the
4	transfers. What would be the process for the
5	general public to access that information if it's
6	not publicly available?
7	A. FOIA.
8	Q. FOIA.
9	HEARING OFFICER TIPSWORD: Anything
10	else?
11	All right, Mr. Armstrong, thank you
12	very much, and we'll move on to the Environmental
13	Law & Policy Center and Sierra Club.
14	Let's take a quick five-minute break
15	and come right back.
16	(A ten-minute recess was taken.)
17	Can you have the record reflect that
18	Board Member, Carrie Zalewski, joined us a few
19	minutes after the hearing started?
20	Shall we get back together?
21	Good afternoon again, and we're back on
22	the record, and, with that, would you guys like to
23	make an opening statement or just go ahead?
24	MR. GIGNAC: Yeah. We can go ahead and

	Page 55
1	I can get sworn in and we can go ahead and answer
2	your questions.
3	HEARING OFFICER TIPSWORD: Okay. Let's
4	do that then.
5	(Witness sworn.)
6	If there's no objection, we will admit
7	the prefiled testimony of Mr. James Gignac on
8	behalf of the Environmental Law & Policy Center,
9	the Environmental Defense Fund, Natural Resources
10	Defense Council, Respiratory Health Association and
11	Sierra Club, as Exhibit 50.
12	Seeing none, it's marked as Exhibit 50.
13	Thank you very much.
14	Are there any questions for Mr. Gignac?
15	We gave them all to Tanya. She's our official
16	spokesperson.
17	JAMES GIGNAC
18	called as a witness, being first duly sworn, was
19	examined and testified as follows:
20	EXAMINATION
21	BY MS. RABCZAK:
22	Q. Page 6 on your testimony, you are
23	suggesting a hypothetical in which capacity factors
24	for Baldwin units go to 85 percent; E.D. Edwards

Page 56

Unit 3, Havana, the Hennepin units, and Newton Unit 1 goes to 80 percent; E.D. Edwards Unit 2 goes to 75 percent, and Joppa.

2.1

My question is, and you probably answered it a little bit in your testimony, how realistic are these scenarios, considering the market conditions? What has to happen for the capacity to go that high?

A. So for these plants to increase their operations, they would need to be dispatched by the system operator on an increased frequency, and so that depends on the market conditions in the region, what energy prices are, and as has been discussed earlier in this proceeding, market prices are affected by a variety of things, including the demand for electricity, the price of natural gas, and the cost of other technologies in the market.

So as to whether it's realistic or likely, as I stated in other parts of my testimony, we are unable to know how -- exactly how generation from retired plants would be replaced. So this scenario is as possible as any of the other countless permutations of ways that retired generation could be replaced.

Page 57

- Q. So would you consider this scenario to be realistic or just possible?
 - A. I consider it to be possible.

2.1

Q. Okay. On page 8 -- on page 8, lines 9-16, you talk about a 15 percent increase in the emissions that would not cause violations of NAAQS, and we talked about that earlier in previous hearings about what IEPA has to go through if that happens. How would you consider that in terms of IEPA catching that increase on time?

A. So, to start, what I say in my
testimony is that increases in sulfur dioxide
pollution would presumably not cause violations of
the NAAQS, but that wouldn't be determined until
the Agency conducted an addition model, but based
on the numbers that Illinois EPA provided in
Exhibit 29, it appears that the increases would not
trigger a violation of the National Ambient Air
Quality Standards, and the process for conducting
that additional modeling is -- some of it is set
forth in the guidelines in the Data Requirements
Rule, but beyond that, I'm not familiar with the
actual mechanics of how, when the Agency receives
the numbers and then when it makes decisions about

Page 58

7	whether	to	conduct	the	additional	modeling.
---	---------	----	---------	-----	------------	-----------

- Q. So would you consider that the Agency would not even be aware of 15 percent happening and they would not catch it until it happens?
- A. Again, I'm not familiar with the exact mechanics and timing of when the emissions data is reported to the Agency.
- Q. But that's your understanding, right, that the 15 percent increase would not trigger any issues?
- A. I presume, based on the numbers that Illinois EPA has provided, that increases of 15 percent or more, at least set forth in the scenario in my testimony, should not trigger a violation of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards.

MS. RABCZAK: Okay. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Are there any other questions of Mr. Gignac.

Thank you very much. We appreciate you coming down here on this very cold day.

MR. GIGNAC: Thank you.

MS. RABCZAK: I have a question for

24 EPA.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/4/2019

January 29, 2019

Page 59 1 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Well, and 2 actually, I was just going to say, we will now open 3 it up for any questions for any -- or any 4 questions -- and these questions do not necessarily 5 have to be answered on the record today. We can 6 all certainly take them under advisement. 7 ahead, Mr. Rabczak. 8 9 QUESTIONS DIRECTED TO ILLINOIS EPA 10 (David Bloomberg) BY MS. RABCZAK: 11 12 So, again, the same questions. Q. The 13 15 percent increase of emissions, that does not 14 trigger a violation of NAAQS. As we discussed 15 before, could you summarize the process for the 16 Agency to be aware whether it's happening and what 17 do they have to do when it happens? I can summarize it, but I didn't 18 19 restudy the timeframes, so, you know, I'm not going 20 to repeat those because I don't want to contradict 2.1 what I previously said because that's what I 22 studied up on at the time, but basically the

emissions information would come in to us in the

Annual Emissions Reports -- those are due by

23

24

	Page 60
1	May 1st of every year and then we would each
2	year, we'd look at that to ensure or to determine
3	whether or not there has been an emissions increase
4	of the sort that makes it necessary that we would
5	need to model the area again, and then we would
6	note that to USEPA, ask for their opinion on the
7	matter, and then, as necessary, proceed to model
8	the area again.
9	Q. And is it the 15 percent that triggers
10	it, or are you going to be aware before it's
11	15 percent?
12	A. I can't remember. That's one of those
13	numbers I can't exactly remember, so I'd refer back
14	to my previous testimony.
15	HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD:
16	Mr. Sylvester?
17	MR. SYLVESTER: Stephen Sylvester,
18	Attorney General's Office.
19	EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. SYLVESTER:
21	Q. I had a question regarding Public
22	Comment 3250. I don't know whether you were
23	planning to address that today. I just out of I
24	mean, the Agency had said that it was asked to

Page 61

re-examine the proposal. I was wondering, you know, if you had any more information since the date that the comment was filed.

A. I'm sorry. I did not catch the beginning because we were still following up on that one, so can I actually follow up and finish?

HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: I was going to say, let's defer that to the end until we're done with all the other questions because I think that's going to be an issue that's going to come up.

MR. BLOOMBERG: Okay.

A. So to answer part of that, the -- we will know because when it happens we'll see it in the Annual Emissions Reports, and the -- you know, I had previously -- in post-hearing comments, we had previously noted that DRR, the Data Requirements Rule, requires that Illinois annually review areas where SO2 emissions increase by more than 15 percent to determine if further modeling is necessary, so that's where that 15 percent number comes in.

MR. RAO: Just a follow-up to that.

2.1

Page 62 1 EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. RAO: 3 Q. If you're required to do the additional 4 modeling and you do the modeling and the modeling 5 shows they're compliant with the NAAQS, then will 6 you place any restrictions on the source? 7 Α. If it shows compliance, then there is 8 no mandate that we place any additional 9 restrictions on them for this specific reason. That's not to say we can't, it's just that there's 10 no mandate to do so and there's no air quality need 11 12 to do so. 13 And this modeling will include all 14 under various sources of SO2? 15 It includes all of the sources nearby 16 that would impact the area. 17 MR. RAO: Thank you. 18 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: All right. 19 Any other questions? 20 MS. RABCZAK: I have a small, hopefully, clarifying question. 2.1 22 EXAMINATION 23 BY MS. RABCZAK: 24 In your answers on page 2, question Q.

Page 63 1 3 --2 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: That's 3 Exhibit 50. 4 MS. RABCZAK: No, it's Exhibit 49. 5 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: 49, sorry. 6 BY MS. RABCZAK: 7 It's addressed, the language that the Ο. 8 Board proposed for Section 225.233(f)(1)(a), and 9 that's the section that addressed transfer. 10 Board proposed the language that to operate, it has 11 to begin compliance beginning with the year in 12 which the transfer occurs, and the Agency suggested 13 this is a little bit confusing. Would it be okay 14 for the Agency to use a similar approach that the 15 Agency proposed in its additional suggested 16 amendments? 17 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Public 18 Comment 2930? 19 MS. RABCZAK: Public Comment 2931. 2.0 BY MS. RABCZAK: 2.1 So the language you used here is the Q. 22 owner-operator must comply with adjusted 23 limitations, beginning with the compliance period, 24 or periods, through which the transfer occurs.

Page 64

A. I'm not sure which exhibit or comment that is. I'm sorry.

HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: That's your additional language that you just proposed. It's PC 2931.

BY MS. RABCZAK:

2.1

- Q. So the proposal -- the language that the Board used was compliance years, and so the compliance years, would it be acceptable, for instance, to, say, use the same language that you proposed in the (g)(1)(b), which says compliance period or compliance periods?
- A. I think we would be safest if we answered that in post-hearing comments rather than including them in an answer on the fly.

HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Are there any other questions?

I actually have one question that I'm going to throw out there for everyone. I don't expect an answer today. But, recently, in one of our rulemakings, Emissions Reduction Market System, the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules took issue with some of the dates that occurred pre-proposal and seemed to feel a concern that

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/4/2019

January 29, 2019

Page 65

there was a retroactive application of the rule, and we actually -- the Board received an objection on that.

2.1

So, given that, we're just wondering and would like your comment and input on the Board's -- the proposed language now that's at 225.233(a)(4) that says: Notwithstanding any contrary provision in this subsection (a) on or after January 1, 2019.

We've received positive feedback from most of you on that date, but given that JCAR has raised it as an issue, we would like to build a record that it either is or is not a retroactive application or look at other suggested dates.

If you would think about that, I would appreciate it.

Okay. All right. Then, with that, we'll go to what Mr. Sylvester posed as a question that I share some questions about, and that is that on Friday we received a Public Comment, which was docketed as 3250, that indicated that the IEPA was going to submit comment which might include -- they have identified issues that may require further evaluation, as well as discussion with

	Page 66
1	representatives from Vistra and other rulemaking
2	participants. It may result in the Agency
3	proposing additional changes to rule language.
4	As you know in our prehearing
5	conference, we did discuss the fact that we've
6	closed this docket fairly quickly, closed the
7	record within about 14 days, so my question is,
8	does the Agency still think that we can close this
9	record in 14 days from the receipt of the
10	transcript?
11	MS. PALUMBO: No, ma'am. We do not
12	think so. The Agency is hoping to request 45 days
13	to complete our review and our discussions.
14	HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: And would you
15	anticipate another hearing?
16	MS. PALUMBO: Unfortunately, we don't
17	know at this point since we haven't had those
18	discussions with the other participants and we
19	haven't completed our review of the issues in this
20	rulemaking. I'm sorry I can't be more specific.
21	HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Anyone else
22	wish to comment on that?
23	MS. BUGEL: Faith Bugel, F-A-I-T-H
24	B-U-G-E-L.

	Page 67
1	I am here today on behalf of Sierra
2	Club. In this statement I'm offering I'm also
3	offering it on behalf of Respiratory Health
4	Association, and we just wanted to indicate that we
5	are supportive of providing the Agency with the
6	time that it is seeking.
7	HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Anyone else
8	want to weigh in?
9	MR. SYLVESTER: Yeah. Our office would
10	support that as well.
11	MR. VICKERS: As would the
12	Environmental Law & Policy Center.
13	MS. HICKS: And also the Environmental
14	Defense Fund supports it.
15	HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: You need to
16	state your name.
17	MS. HICKS: I'm sorry. Christie Hicks,
18	C-H-R-I-S-T-I-E, H-I-C-K-S, Environmental Defense
19	Fund.
20	HEARING OFFICER TIPSWORD: Any other
21	comment?
22	Does the Agency have any idea of
23	what I mean, what areas are you looking at
24	that I mean, like you say, your statement is

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 2/4/2019

January 29, 2019

Page 68 1 that you identified issues that may require further 2 evaluation, so I quess my question is, after four 3 hearings, what issues have you identified that 4 require further evaluation? Can you give us --5 MS. PALUMBO: Since I'm not sworn in, 6 I'm going to defer to our witness, just so I'm not 7 testifying. 8 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Okay. MR. BLOOMBERG: We're looking at the 9 10 totality of the rule. We don't have anything specific to tell you at this point. We only filed 11 12 this two days ago, so not much has really changed 13 in that timeframe. So, unfortunately, at this 14 point, I can't really give you any more specifics 15 than that, I'm afraid. 16 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Okay. Is 17 there any objection to 45 days? Okay. I'm going 18 to give 45 days from today. 19 MR. SYLVESTER: 45 days for what? 20 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: 45 days for 2.1 Public Comment. 22 MR. SYLVESTER: Oh, at the close of the 23 Public Comment period? 24 HEARING OFFICER TIPSORD: Yeah.

	Page 69
1	Okay. I will set it by Hearing Officer
2	Order after the hearing for 45 days from today,
3	and, I mean, anything further, we're going to ask
4	that you give us something in writing explaining
5	why the Board's ruling is being delayed.
6	Are there any other questions we want
7	to put on the record for anyone?
8	Okay. As I said, we currently have
9	eight people signed up to speak tonight starting at
10	5:30. So stay warm, enjoy your afternoon, and
11	we'll see you guys all back here at 5:30. Well,
12	some of you, anyway. Thank you.
13	(Hearing concluded at 1:37 p.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	Page 70
1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)) SS
2	COUNTY OF MACON)
3	
4	
5	I, LISA HAHN PETERMAN, do hereby certify
6	that I am a Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary
7	Public in the State of Illinois and that I reported in
8	shorthand the foregoing, taken on the 29th day of
9	January, 2019, and that the foregoing is a true and
10	correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken.
11	
12	I further certify that I am not of counsel or
13	attorney for either of the parties to said hearing, not
14	related to nor interested in any of the parties or their
15	attorneys.
16	
17	Dated this 4th day of February, 2019.
18	
19	
20	Lica Haba Dotorman CCD DMD
21	Lisa Hahn Peterman, CSR, RMR Illinois CSR #084.2149
22	
23	
24	

A	admit 11:14 40:5	allocate 47:23	64:14	assess 50:16
a.m 1:15 7:23 8:5	55:6	allocated 23:13	answering 43:16	assessed 19:23
9:6	admitting 11:9	31:17,19	answers 32:13 38:3	31:17,18
abandoning 19:21	adopt 46:23	allocating 33:13	62:24	Association 55:10
abiding 37:11	adopted 39:8	allocation 24:3	anticipate 66:15	67:4
able 10:20 21:13	advance 22:11	42:15 43:14 44:22	anticipated 22:21	attachment 35:18
53:24	35:17	allow 7:22 9:4 10:6	ANTONETTE 3:6	35:23
accept 13:22	advanced 22:5	20:5	anybody 11:4 20:1	attended 7:4
acceptable 53:15	advisement 59:6	allowables 37:17	anybody's 19:17	attorney 2:12,18,20
64:9	Advisor 2:18,20	allowed 30:18	anyway 24:22	3:9 7:14,15,18,19
access 53:24 54:5	7:15,19 8:20,24	37:23	40:19 43:16 69:12	7:20 8:20,22,24
accommodate 7:24	afraid 68:15	allowing 50:23	Appeared 3:7,12	9:11 11:6,16 53:6
account 36:7 37:1,5	afternoon 8:8 10:6	allows 30:13	3:18,22 4:5	60:18 70:13
accounted 20:21	11:5 54:21 69:10	alluding 37:16	APPEARING 2:17	attorneys 70:15
47:7	Agency 1:13 3:2,7	alternative 20:4	appears 25:10	attuned 48:23
acknowledge 10:14	9:18,24 10:1	Ambient 57:18	57:17	available 50:21
acknowledged	26:19 27:3,6,7	58:15	Appendix 28:11,12	52:18,22 54:6
10:15	36:5,13,16 37:1	amended 25:5	application 65:1,14	Avenue 1:14 3:2
acting 7:18	38:24 41:15,19,20	30:22	applied 17:14	avoid 23:8,10,22
actual 57:23	42:12 43:17,22	Amendment 46:22	apply 27:4	awarded 17:9
added 40:20	44:1,3,17 50:18	amendments 1:4	appointed 7:8 8:10	aware 51:6 58:3
addendum 29:13	51:2,3,6,10,12	7:9 8:11 20:14	appreciate 49:22	59:16 60:10
41:3,4	52:1,21 53:10,13	63:16	58:20 65:16	В
addition 57:15	53:15 54:2 57:15	amount 8:1 9:7	approach 13:16	b 64:11
additional 20:13	57:23 58:2,7	16:10 32:23 46:14	14:6 46:24 47:19	B-U-G-E-L 66:24
22:17 30:7 46:21	59:16 60:24 63:12	48:10,10,20	63:14	back 8:8 30:10
52:17 53:8,14	63:14,15 66:2,8	amounts 48:2	approaches 48:23	33:10 38:18 40:20
57:20 58:1 62:3,8	66:12 67:5,22	Anand 2:13 7:16	appropriate 13:8	40:24 45:5 54:15
63:15 64:4 66:3	Agency's 9:19	8:23	14:9 16:15 31:2	54:20,21 60:13
address 38:15	25:19 40:3 42:6	Andrew 5:3 11:16	34:9 39:22	69:11
60:23	43:11 46:21 47:10	11:20	appropriately 27:8	Baldwin 30:1,21
addressed 36:3	51:24 52:12 ago 39:8 45:8 68:12	annual 19:23 24:3	area 60:5,8 62:16 areas 61:19 67:23	31:3,11,15,16,21
63:7,9	agree 19:14 30:12	27:21,24 29:4,17 29:18,19,20 31:11	argued 15:7	33:1,8,10 38:10
addressing 20:14	45:24 46:1 49:12	34:24 53:8 59:24	Armstrong 5:3	38:11 39:6 55:24
adjust 34:7	agreed 45:11,12	61:15	11:12,16,20 12:2	based 14:16 23:20
adjusted 23:17,19	46:15	annually 61:18	12:5,8 15:14	30:11 31:11,17
23:20 24:1 29:19	agreeing 45:14	answer 10:2,2	16:23 26:18 32:5	46:13,21 47:24
29:20 31:22 33:12	ahead 7:3 16:21	19:13 20:13 22:13	32:8 35:12 45:1,3	57:15 58:11
33:19,23 34:14	26:15 54:23,24	32:11 36:1,13	45:22 49:18,21	basic 50:13
35:4 39:10 47:1,8 63:22	55:1 59:7	37:6 38:4,17	52:17 54:11	basically 24:2
adjustment 47:3	air 1:4 37:3,4 51:6	39:15,20,21 43:20	ash 50:9,11	31:15 47:5,7,23
Adm 1:4 7:10 8:12	51:17 57:18 58:15	48:15 52:4 55:1	asked 10:23 40:9	48:12 53:10 59:22
Administrative	62:11	61:13 64:15,20	45:4 46:17 60:24	basis 37:16 47:23
64:22	alike 49:5	answered 56:5 59:5	assertion 49:13	beginning 16:17
UT.22				

				- 7 -
26:10 61:5 63:11	business 42:24	cessation 21:18,24	commence 8:6	64:24
63:23	51:24 52:5	22:21,23	commencing 22:24	concerns 20:1
behalf 3:7,12,18,22		Chairman 2:9,18	comment 7:22 9:5	conclude 9:17
4:5 11:16 17:3	C	7:12,13 8:15,19	9:21 10:3,6,7,10	concluded 69:13
55:8 67:1,3	c 2:1 3:1 4:1 41:17	15:15	20:18 21:13 22:14	conclusion 10:4
believe 18:14 21:18	C-H-R-I-S-T-I-E	chance 31:6	38:8 43:20 53:10	conditions 56:7,12
22:1 27:21 28:16	67:18	change 16:3 20:15	53:14 60:22 61:3	conduct 58:1
31:22 38:20 41:7	calculations 47:2	32:21,24 37:8	63:18,19 64:1	conducted 57:15
44:20 45:11	calendar 14:12	42:4	65:5,20,22 66:22	conducting 57:19
beneficial 27:6	29:4 47:22	changed 41:19	67:21 68:21,23	conference 7:4 66:5
better 49:8 53:18	called 11:21 36:18	68:12	comments 5:2 10:9	confirm 40:22
	55:18			
beyond 57:22	cap 13:21 14:17	changes 40:23 53:7	22:17 30:8 35:23	confusing 63:13
bigger 49:3	15:4 24:1,7 26:20	66:3	36:3 38:16 39:21	connection 37:3
biggest 49:6 52:10	27:12 28:5,22,24	check 51:14,17	43:16 49:2 53:20	39:14
bit 21:13 56:5		Chicago 2:4 3:10	61:16 64:14	consider 43:8,17
63:13	29:4 30:23,24	3:15 4:3	Committee 64:22	57:1,3,9 58:2
Bloomberg 3:4	31:7,10,20,22 33:12,19,23 34:7	Choe 2:15,20 7:19	companies 50:9	considered 21:22
5:14 6:4 36:15,17		8:17	52:3	39:8 41:21 42:18
51:4 59:10 61:12	34:14 35:3 39:9	choose 10:2	company 39:16	42:19 43:4,12
68:9	46:24 47:8	Christie 67:17	50:20 51:11,12	44:1
Board 1:1 2:2,7,10	capacity 55:23 56:8	clarification 15:16	complete 10:24	considering 56:6
2:11,14,15,20 7:8	caps 13:11 27:10	20:16 23:19 35:22	66:13	considers 38:5 39:4
7:13 8:10,15,16	27:24,24 29:18,19	35:24	completed 66:19	consistent 20:5
8:17,18,21 9:20	31:11	clarified 34:23	compliance 12:20	constant 17:17
10:23 12:16,16,24	Carrie 2:11 54:18	clarify 20:11 32:4	12:22 13:19 14:5	contemplates 30:14
13:2,22 15:15	Carter 2:10 5:13,21	34:13	14:13,22 15:5	contemporaneous
25:16,22 26:21	7:13 8:17 49:19	clarifying 35:13	19:23 23:16,17	25:23
27:12 30:23 31:2	49:20 50:24 51:21	49:23 62:21	24:17,20 25:18,19	contemporaneou
35:22 40:3 46:13	51:23	cleanest 44:5,11	26:19,22 27:9,15	25:24
46:23 47:9,13,13	case 7:23 18:4,14	clear 16:12 17:13	27:20,21,23 28:2	continued 3:1 4:1
47:19 54:18 63:8	22:1 23:3,9 24:15	20:20 26:9 33:7	29:1,4,18 34:19	26:5 29:1
63:10 64:8 65:2	33:8 35:1	47:12	34:24 36:6 37:3	continues 34:5
Board's 11:1 12:17	case-specific 37:6	close 66:8 68:22	39:5 42:9,14 43:5	contradict 59:20
49:22 65:6 69:5	catch 58:4 61:4	closed 16:16 66:6,6	43:13 44:16 47:1	contrary 65:8
Box 3:3	catching 57:10	Club 3:22 9:15	47:3 50:3,11,16	CONTROL 1:1 2:2
break 54:14	cause 57:6,13	54:13 55:11 67:2	52:24 62:7 63:11	2:7
Brenda 2:10 7:13	ceased 36:10,24	coal 50:8,11	63:23 64:8,9,11	cooling 15:19,24
8:16	Center 2:2,3 4:2,5	Code 1:4 7:10	64:12	copy 25:6
broad 42:16	9:14 35:9 54:13	cold 58:21	compliant 62:5	correct 17:19 18:2
Bugel 3:19,21	55:8 67:12	combined 42:5	complicated 53:19	18:13,20 25:9
66:23,23	certain 16:11 45:18	come 9:18 30:10	complex 24:8 63:22	27:11 30:15,20,24
build 10:24 65:12	certainly 22:16	31:24 54:15 59:23	complying 39:17	31:4,5 32:7 33:14
built 21:17	48:1 49:1 59:6	61:10	concept 17:6,11	34:17 35:4 39:2
burdensome 50:20	Certified 70:6	comes 32:2 61:22	19:21 36:5 50:13	43:10 45:19,20
Bureau 25:19	certify 70:5,12	coming 8:8 58:21	concern 19:20 49:6	70:10
Duicau 23.19		coming 0.0 30.21	CONCEIN 17.20 47.0	/0.10
	I	l	l	

1				
correctly 45:13,15	23:11 28:4 49:10	DIRECTED 51:3	Edwards 55:24	67:12,13,18
46:7 49:9,16	58:21 70:8,17	59:9	56:2	environmentally
corresponding	days 25:20 47:24	discharge 52:19	effect 20:22	15:8
45:10	48:19 66:7,9,12	discuss 66:5	EGU 41:14	EPA 38:8,13 49:24
cost 56:17	68:12,17,18,19,20	discussed 14:9	EGUs 25:14,16	57:16 58:12,24
Council 55:10	69:2	56:14 59:14	41:5,6 54:2	59:9
counsel 70:12	deal 38:14	discussion 45:6	eight 10:9 69:9	equal 47:17 48:9
countless 56:23	December 9:19	46:18 65:24	either 10:2 17:14	error 25:10,19
counts 37:9	11:15 40:2 44:14	discussions 66:13	21:19 47:18 65:13	evaluate 14:22 15:5
County 1:11 70:2	44:21	66:18	70:13	evaluated 14:14,16
course 19:16 29:24	decide 42:2	disparity 33:24	electricity 46:3	evaluation 65:24
37:12 46:2 48:11	decides 40:13	dispatched 56:10	56:16	68:2,4
48:24	decision 11:1 12:18	distinction 35:20	emission 37:9	evening 10:10
court 10:19	13:2 44:3	dividing 48:20	emissions 14:17	everyone's 45:7
credit 15:4	decisions 57:24	docket 66:6	17:9 18:1,13,23	evidence 25:7
cross-references	Defense 55:9,10	docketed 65:21	19:16,24 23:13	exact 21:24 58:5
53:16	67:14,18	document 40:1	31:17,19 32:3	exactly 31:1 33:7
CSR 1:11 70:20,21	defer 61:8 68:6	documented 52:22	33:13 36:7 37:2	56:20 60:13
curious 52:13,15	defined 28:17	documents 50:10	45:10,17 46:1	EXAMINATION
current 23:24	32:19	50:12,15,22	47:4,6,8,23 57:6	12:13 15:12 17:4
27:12 28:16 33:22	definitely 46:2	doing 37:19 42:17	58:6 59:13,23,24	20:7 26:16 32:16
currently 13:24	definition 38:19,20	42:22	60:3 61:15,19	34:11 35:10 36:20
25:15 26:21 30:22	38:24 39:3 41:13	downward 23:20	64:21	38:1 39:12 40:10
69:8	41:24	47:2	ended 46:15	41:10 55:20 60:19
Cynthia 2:14 8:19	Definitions 32:5	drafting 9:22	energy 15:18 56:13	62:1,22
Cyntma 2.14 0.17	delayed 69:5	drafts 52:8	enforce 49:24	examined 11:22
D	demand 46:3 56:16	draw 28:19	enjoy 69:10	36:19 55:19
D 5:1 6:1	depending 42:7	Drive 3:14 4:2	ensure 44:12 60:2	example 14:10
Daniel 2:19 7:18	46:3	DRR 61:17	enter 9:15,19	16:16 24:18 29:24
8:24	depends 19:8 56:12	due 7:24 9:7 59:24	entered 9:12,16	33:17 37:4 38:10
data 57:21 58:6	details 22:6	duly 11:21 36:18	entire 12:22 13:18	48:5,6 49:14 50:4
61:17	determine 22:20	55:18	25:17 26:22 29:1	52:19
database 52:20	47:3 52:24 60:2	duration 21:24	29:17 34:16 35:2	examples 30:3,7
date 22:24 25:7,21	61:20	24:16 27:15	39:5,7 42:9,14	52:21
26:1,4,11 28:17	determined 57:14	durations 30:17	44:23	Excuse 29:9
41:14 43:22,24	difference 32:1	Dynegy 3:18	entirety 27:15	exhibit 9:20,22
44:2,2,5,17,18,19	different 13:16	Dynegy 5.16	30:21	11:17,18 40:6,7
44:20 61:3 65:11	19:14,15 24:7	$\overline{\mathbf{E}}$	entities 52:23	55:11,12 57:17
dated 40:4 70:17	30:17,19 38:23	E 2:1,1 3:1,1,19,21	entitled 7:9 8:11	63:3,4 64:1
dates 64:23 65:14	44:16 48:2,2,12	4:1,1 5:1 6:1	environment 20:5	exhibits 11:9
David 3:4 5:14 6:4	differently 28:7	E.D 55:24 56:2	environmental	expect 16:18 64:20
36:17,22 39:14	difficult 23:6	earlier 56:14 57:7	1:13 2:13 3:2,7	expectation 31:8
40:8 53:3 59:10	difficulties 19:19	ease 9:21 40:6	4:2,5 9:14 15:17	expected 18:18
DAVIS 3:5	dioxide 57:12	East 1:14 3:2 4:2	24:11 35:9 37:11	experience 17:16
day 1:14 19:22	direct 9:24 22:5	easy 45:3	40:2 54:12 55:8,9	experience 17.10 expert 21:15
	uncet 7.24 22.3	<i>y</i>	10.2 37.12 33.0,9	CAPCI (21.13
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

explain 12:24 29:14	follow-up 15:11	go 7:3 10:22 11:3,6	happens 27:8 30:18	19:7 42:16 55:23
explaining 69:4	43:21 51:21 53:22	12:3,5 16:21	33:15 40:17 57:9	
express 11:1	61:23	26:15 34:3 40:24	58:4 59:17 61:14	I
	follow-ups 10:21	45:5 50:15 54:23	happy 35:22	idea 15:1 18:22
F	followed 14:24	54:24 55:1,24	Hardin 3:14 17:3	19:8 30:8 39:15
f 25:4,11	following 40:9 61:5	56:8 57:8 59:6	Havana 56:1	67:22
F-A-I-T-H 66:23	follows 11:22 36:19	65:18	head 40:15 53:17	identified 36:8
fact 22:20 30:13	55:19	goes 56:2,2	Health 55:10 67:3	65:23 68:1,3
66:5	footing 47:17	going 7:3,5 12:12	hear 7:21 9:3 10:8	identify 12:16 13:8
factors 55:23	foregoing 70:8,9	21:21 22:12 23:4	heard 46:7	16:24
fair 18:5 19:18	forth 17:10 33:10	24:19 26:3 27:3	hearing 1:10 2:5	IEPA 12:19 20:13
fairly 66:6	40:4 57:21 58:13	27:10 34:23 38:18	7:2,5,8,23 8:2,3,5	21:11 24:15,16,20
Faith 3:19,21 66:23	forward 9:18 27:3	40:5 42:23 44:8	8:7,10 9:3,6 11:11	26:8 41:12 43:2
fall 18:9 19:5	four 68:2	45:13,14 46:9,23	12:1,7,11 16:21	52:18 57:8,10
familiar 57:22 58:5	frequency 56:11	59:2,19 60:10	16:24 20:17 26:14	65:21
far 7:12 8:16,17	Friday 65:20	61:7,10,10 64:19	28:10 29:9 36:12	IEPA's 20:19 23:18
16:18	full 16:18 24:3 28:4	65:22 68:6,17	39:24 40:4 49:17	38:3
fashion 19:9	Fund 55:9 67:14,19	69:3	51:16,19 53:1	ignoring 49:8
February 70:17	further 61:20 65:23	good 7:6 8:7 15:14	54:9,19 55:3	III 1:4 7:9 8:12
federal 50:8	68:1,4 69:3 70:12	24:9 26:7,8 54:21	58:18 59:1 60:15	Illinois 1:1,12,12
feedback 49:22		Grand 1:13 3:2	61:7 62:18 63:2,5	1:14 2:2,4,7 3:2,3
65:10	G	GRANHOLM 3:17	63:17 64:3,16	3:7,9,10,13,15,20
feel 24:10 64:24	g 29:11 41:17 64:11	greater 17:21	66:14,15,21 67:7	4:3 9:11 11:6
feels 45:7	gas 56:16	group 14:13,15,16	67:15,20 68:8,16	29:23 38:8,13
fell 42:8	general 3:9 11:16	14:21 25:17 27:3	68:20,24 69:1,2	40:2 53:6 57:16
figure 14:1 27:4,9	53:6 54:5	28:1 31:11,16,18	69:13 70:13	58:12 59:9 61:18
figured 35:24	General's 9:11 11:6	31:21 40:14,21	hearings 57:8 68:3	70:1,7,21
filed 20:13 40:1	60:18	41:6 46:24	help 10:24 24:18,22	immediate 7:11,15
61:3 68:11	generally 18:6	guess 22:9 41:23	27:17 52:23	8:14,21,22
filing 50:2	44:19	49:3 68:2	helpful 13:4 14:1	impact 29:3 62:16
filings 11:2	generating 21:6,7	guidelines 57:21	24:15	implement 51:8
find 51:15	generation 17:17	guys 54:22 69:11	Hennepin 56:1	important 13:1
finest 48:21	17:21 18:1,6,7,12		Hicks 67:13,17,17	26:5 43:24 46:20
finish 48:16 61:6	18:17 19:5,15	Н	high 56:8	50:22
first 9:10 11:21	45:9,16 56:20,24	h 25:12,12,13,15	higher 18:1,2,7,18	include 25:6 47:4
23:11 25:9 33:15	getting 30:6	29:11	18:23 31:6 45:17	62:13 65:22
36:18 46:21 55:18	Gignac 6:2 54:24	H-I-C-K-S 67:18	history 29:23	included 37:19
five 42:18	55:7,14,17 58:19	Hahn 1:10 70:5,20	hold 21:14	53:8,12
five-minute 54:14	58:22	halves 48:9	honest 22:19	includes 62:15
fleet 30:1	GINA 3:5	hand 10:14 21:11	hope 45:3	including 54:3
flit 30:4	give 9:22 50:17	happen 23:15	hopefully 36:2	56:15 64:15
fly 64:15	68:4,14,18 69:4	30:13 32:22 47:16	62:21	increase 23:14 56:9
FOIA 54:7,8	given 23:3 45:16	48:7 56:7	hoping 66:12	57:5,10 58:9
follow 10:12 29:13	46:13,14 65:4,11	happening 58:3	hundred 17:13	59:13 60:3 61:19
51:1 61:6	giving 35:24 49:21	59:16	hypothetical 17:7	increased 56:11

57 10 17	15410	1: :4 4: (2.22	22.12.26.5	26 11 11 24 42 2
increases 57:12,17	joined 54:18	limitations 63:23	mean 22:13 26:5	36:11,11,24 42:3
58:12	Joint 64:22	limits 13:11 16:11	29:22 32:21 38:13	42:3,4 45:18,18
indicate 67:4	Joppa 56:3	lines 57:4	39:6 42:6,22,24	46:4 47:21 49:14
indicated 24:19	Josh 16:22 17:2	Lisa 1:10 70:5,20	43:24 48:8 60:24	More's 49:12
65:21	JOSHUA 3:16	listing 13:1	67:23,24 69:3	morning 7:6 11:5
indication 22:2	July 14:11 48:5	little 21:13 56:5	means 14:2	15:14
26:10	June 48:5	63:13	mechanics 48:8	mothballed 12:17
inform 35:17	Justin 4:4 35:8	long 21:12 22:12	57:23 58:6	12:20,22 13:1,7
information 24:21	K	33:4 37:23 39:8	media 51:17	13:10,11,17,18,20
26:8 51:9 53:8		42:8 45:7 49:4	meeting 34:16	13:24 14:4,11,14
54:1,1,5 59:23	Katie 2:9 7:12 8:15	longer 21:22 41:6	Member 2:10,11,14	14:17 19:4 21:4
61:2	15:14	44:1	2:15,20 7:13 8:16	21:10,12 29:17,21
initial 45:5	kind 14:20 30:4	look 21:16 22:19	8:16,18,19 54:18	29:21 30:2,4,6,9
input 65:5	44:23 52:3	29:22,23 34:4	Members 2:7 10:23	30:14,16,21 31:4
Insofar 29:2	know 7:5 13:17	40:16 44:5 47:22	memories 45:7	31:9,12 32:23
instance 27:13	16:14 21:12 22:4	60:2 65:14	mention 21:5	33:2,4,6,9,11
44:14 64:10	22:5,10,11,18	looking 15:1 22:18	mentioned 17:8	42:18 43:4
intend 35:19	23:3,3 24:12,18	25:23 48:4,19	21:11 25:3 38:4	mothballing 13:16
intended 10:24	27:7 29:22 35:16	67:23 68:9	Mike 12:9	29:23 32:6,19
interchangeably	38:21 40:20 44:8	lose 44:22	mind 26:13 35:24	38:19,21,23
32:9 38:22	44:9 46:5 48:1,6	lot 45:4 50:11	41:20 42:4	move 9:13 54:12
interested 70:14	49:1 50:15 56:20	lower 18:13 45:18	minutes 54:19	MPS 1:5 7:10 8:13
interpretation 44:6	59:19 60:22 61:2		MISO 21:15,17	14:13,15,15,21
interrupt 16:4	61:14,15 66:4,17	<u> </u>	22:2,5,22 30:12	20:6 25:6,17 27:2
interrupted 46:5	knowing 21:23	ma'am 66:11	35:17 42:12	28:1,17 30:22
involve 48:19		Macon 1:12 70:2	model 57:15 60:5,7	31:11,16,18,21
involved 39:23		maintain 50:10	modeling 37:4,5,14	36:6 38:14,18
issue 33:5 49:8	language 63:7,10	51:10	37:15,18,20 57:20	39:7 40:14,20
61:10 64:23 65:12	63:21 64:4,7,10	maintaining 50:2	58:1 61:20 62:4,4	41:6 43:3,9,18
issues 44:17 58:10	65:6 66:3	maintenance 51:8	62:4,13	46:24 50:1
65:23 66:19 68:1	Law 3:19 4:2,5	making 50:17	modified 13:12	muffled 48:11
68:3	9:14 35:9 54:13	manage 36:7	modify 28:18 41:15	Multi-Pollutant
items 52:9	55:8 67:12	mandate 62:8,11	43:8,23 44:4	1:4 7:10 8:12
	learn 12:21 24:16	manipulation	Mohawk 3:19	
J	left 7:11,12,14 8:14	44:13	monitored 50:6,6	N
J 2:12 3:11 7:16	8:16,18	Marie 1:10 2:5 7:7	monitoring 52:19	N 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1
8:22	length 22:3,21	8:9	52:20	6:1
James 2:2 6:2 55:7	let's 17:6 27:20	Mark 2:12 7:16,16	month 36:10 46:13	NAAQS 57:6,14
55:17	36:13 37:1 45:16	8:22	months 15:3,4,20	59:14 62:5
January 1:15 23:24	54:14 55:3 61:8	marked 55:12	16:13,13 17:8	name 7:7 8:9 10:16
24:5,6 29:3,16	levels 19:14,15	market 56:7,12,14	18:7,8,10,11,16	67:16
33:19,22 34:15,18	light 12:23 18:22	56:17 64:21	18:16,24 19:3	National 57:18
35:1 44:15 65:9	limit 21:18 23:19	matter 1:3 21:15	21:10 22:23 23:2	58:15
70:9	23:20	24:12 32:5 42:5	23:4 24:20 28:4	natural 55:9 56:16
JCAR 65:11	limitation 47:4	60:7	30:10,15 35:15	nearby 62:15
			_	
	•	•	•	•

necessarily 59:4	[-
necessary 15:7 47:5	0
47:11,17 60:4,7	
61:21	0
need 17:7 38:15	
56:10 60:5 62:11	
67:15	0
needed 50:16	0
needs 27:3	
never 41:18 42:19	0
	0
43:1,6	
new 39:17 44:9	
newest 7:18	0
Newton 56:1	
nine 16:13 42:3	0
noon 8:3,3,6 9:9	0
normal 37:13	
North 1:13 3:2	
notary 1:11 70:6	
note 10:23 12:16,24	C
13:23 31:2 48:3	
60:6	0
noted 61:17	0
notes 70:10	0
notice 21:7 22:1,5	
24:14,19,22 25:7	
25:24 26:2,4	0
notices 50:3 52:7,9	C
53:11	
notification 26:18	
notified 12:19	
notify 25:19	
notion 11:2	
Notwithstanding	
65:7	
NOx 30:24 34:17	
35:5,6,7	
NPDES 52:20	
NSR 40:18	
number 9:21,22	
11:17 12:9,10	0
27:1 38:4 39:15	
40:18 53:16 61:21	c
numbers 57:16,24	`
58:11 60:13	0
	ľ

0
o'clock 9:9 objection 11:14
21:1 40:5 55:6
65:2 68:17
objections 11:17
observation 21:16
52:18
observations 30:11
occur 14:23,24
15:1,19 18:17
52:16
occurred 44:12 64:23
occurring 31:6
occurs 26:22 27:14
28:8,22 31:3
41:14 49:13 63:12
63:24
October 30:2 33:9
40:4
offer 10:5
offering 67:2,3
office 3:9,19 9:11 11:7 13:23 60:18
67:9
office's 31:14 53:6
Officer 1:10 2:5 7:2
7:8 8:7,11 11:11
12:1,7,11 16:21
16:24 20:17 26:14
28:10 29:9 36:12
39:24 40:4 49:17
51:16,19 53:1
54:9 55:3 58:18 59:1 60:15 61:7
62:18 63:2,5,17
64:3,16 66:14,21
67:7,15,20 68:8
68:16,20,24 69:1
official 26:4,4,9
55:15
Oh 16:2 26:14
68:22
okay 15:2 16:2,2,20

18:5,22 26:12 27:17 32:10 45:22 46:19 47:20 49:16 55:3 57:4 58:17 61:12 63:13 65:17 68:8,16,17 69:1,8 once 12:12 open 7:5 19:17 59:2 opened 9:6 opening 5:2 11:4 54:23 operate 16:10,13 18:15 19:9 48:2 63:10
operates 19:22
operating 28:19 36:8,9,23 42:13 43:5
operation 22:23
26:6 30:5,10
47:24 48:21
operational 44:2
operations 23:14
36:9,24 41:20 56:10
operator 22:10,20
25:18 26:3 28:18
41:14 42:2 56:11
operators 24:14
41:18 54:2
opinion 16:3 60:6
opposed 18:8 25:14
opposite 44:23
oral 7:22 9:5 10:6,8 order 15:5 40:4
69:2
organization 19:12 26:6
output 45:9,10,16
46:1,14
outside 43:18
overall 13:21 27:24 37:9
owner 20:12 21:6
25:6,18 28:17

25 15 41 14 42 5
35:17 41:14 42:2
owner-operator
63:22
owners 54:2
ozone 34:16,20
35:2,3
<u>P</u>
P 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1,1
p.m 69:13
P.O 3:3
page 12:15 14:9
20:9 21:5 22:18
25:2,3 28:10,11
38:3 55:22 57:4,4
62:24
pages 29:12
PALUMBO 3:6
66:11,16 68:5
Papadimitriu 2:9
2:18 5:5,12 7:12
*
8:15,20 15:10,13
15:15 16:20 32:4
32:10,14 45:2,21
Papadimitriu's
7:14
part 40:14 41:6
61:13
participants 66:2
66:18
particular 14:12
16:11 52:7
parties 70:13,14
parts 14:5 56:19
pattern 18:6
Pauley 2:19 5:10
7:18 9:1 34:12
PC 64:5
penalizing 19:2
people 3:12 10:9
29:13 50:23 69:9
percent 17:9,13
55:24 56:2,3 57:5
58:3,9,13 59:13
60:9,11 61:20,21
, -,

Page 76
period 12:21,22 13:19 14:5 15:24 18:18,19 22:11 23:5,16,17 24:17 24:21 25:18 26:22 27:15,20,21,24 28:2 29:1,18 32:19 34:19,24 36:10,24 37:22 39:6 42:5,7,9,14 43:5,13 47:1,24 48:13 63:23 64:12 68:23 periods 17:20,21 17:24 18:23 63:24 64:12
permanent 20:10
23:11,21 25:14 27:2,14,19,19,22 28:1,8 29:6 33:20 33:24 39:16 43:23 47:2,12,14 53:11 54:3
permanently 14:19
17:15 20:21 21:2 24:5 28:3 31:23 32:2 33:18 38:6 38:11 39:9 40:12 41:5,8,9,13,22 42:20 43:9,18 49:4
permit 28:19 36:9
36:23 41:16,16 43:3,7,8,24 44:4,4 46:23
permits 38:13,16 39:22 52:2
permitting 39:18 44:9 51:7 52:1,11
permutations
56:23 personnel 38:17 39:22
perspective 15:18 26:20 36:6

ī				Tage 11
Peterman 1:10	preposition 46:15	49:1 50:1 53:7	0	5:22 6:7 7:16
70:5,20	present 2:8 10:7	63:8,10,15 64:4		8:23 35:5 40:8,11
place 62:6,8	20:3	64:11 65:6	quality 57:19 58:15 62:11	51:1,5,18 53:3,5
planned 15:19	presented 19:20	proposing 17:11		53:21 61:23 62:2
planning 23:2	Presiding 8:15	31:7,10 32:18,24	question 10:12	62:17
60:23	presumably 37:10	46:12 66:3	12:18 15:20 16:5	ratio 19:6
plant 15:22 19:22	57:13	prorate 46:13	19:13 32:15 35:13	rational 24:12
33:17 35:16	presume 58:11	prorated 14:6	36:4 38:4 39:14	re-examine 61:1
plants 21:7 30:4,16	presumed 38:8	prorating 14:6	40:8 41:17 43:15	read 27:11
56:9,21	presumption 38:7	prorating 14.8 proration 14:8,8,23	45:4 46:17 48:15	real 26:9,9
please 10:15,18	38:12	14:24 15:1,6 16:6	49:19,23 56:4	realistic 19:13
12:23 38:8	previous 57:7	16:9 17:10,12,13	58:23 60:21 62:21	30:11 56:6,18
point 21:19,21	60:14	19:1,1,18,21 20:2	62:24 64:18 65:18	57:2
29:24 34:6 35:22	previously 59:21	46:9 47:5,11,17	66:7 68:2	realize 46:15
37:12 47:10 49:3	61:16,17	48:18 49:7,7	questions 5:4,5,6,7	really 15:4 31:24
50:5 66:17 68:11	price 56:16	protected 15:9	5:8,9,10,11,12,13	33:15,23 48:16
68:14	1 -	Protection 1:13 3:2	5:15,16,17,18,19	50:7 52:5 68:12
policy 4:2,5 9:14	prices 56:13,14	3:7 40:3	5:20,21,22,23 6:3	68:14
1 0	probably 25:11 31:5 43:15 56:4		6:5,6,7,8 8:1 9:8	
24:12 35:9 38:5		protective 20:4,20	9:12,15,20,24	reason 13:13,14 42:13 44:9 62:9
54:13 55:8 67:12	proceed 60:7	provide 13:3 22:7	10:13,17,20,23	
pollution 1:1 2:2,7	proceeding 7:9	22:16 26:3,19	12:4,6,8,12,12	reasoning 29:15
16:19 57:13	8:11 9:8 56:14	30:7 51:9	22:9 32:12 40:3	reasons 13:4 24:11
portal 51:24 52:5	PROCEEDINGS	provided 10:1	45:1,5 49:18,22	rebuttable 38:7
52:11	1:9	57:16 58:12	51:3 55:2,14	rebutted 38:12
posed 65:18	proceeds 47:9	provides 41:7	58:19 59:3,4,4,9	recall 45:14 52:13
positive 65:10	process 54:4 57:19	providing 67:5	59:12 61:9 62:19	receipt 66:9
possible 14:20 27:1	59:15	provision 65:8	64:17 65:19 69:6	receive 9:21 42:20
56:22 57:2,3	program 52:20	provisions 50:1	quick 43:21 54:14	received 8:2 9:8
post-hearing 22:17	programs 36:6	public 1:11 9:5,21	quickly 48:16 66:6	44:15,17,20 65:2
30:8 35:23 36:3	prohibiting 37:17	10:2,6,9,10 13:8		65:10,20
38:16 39:21 43:20	promptly 8:9	20:18 50:14,22	R	receives 43:23
49:2 53:20 61:16	proposal 13:23	52:7,9,22,23	R 2:1,2 3:1,6,16 4:1	57:23
64:14	14:3 16:8 17:10	53:24 54:5 60:21	R18-20 1:3	recess 7:6 8:2 10:8
posted 52:14	20:19 27:12 28:16	63:17,19 65:20	Rabczak 2:18 5:4,7	54:16
postmark 44:19	31:14,15 33:22	68:21,23 70:7	5:16,19,23 6:3,5,8	recessed 8:4,5 9:7
potential 37:2	42:7 43:11 46:10	publicly 50:2,10	7:14 8:21 12:14	recognize 16:16
Powell 2:12 7:16	47:10,22 61:1	54:6	20:8 29:6 38:2	34:6 48:1,21
8:22	64:7	purpose 9:2 44:7	41:11 53:22,23	recollection 45:23
pre-hearing 7:4	propose 20:2 25:4	44:11	55:21 58:17,23	reconvene 10:8
pre-proposal 64:24	48:18	purposes 13:20	59:7,11 62:20,23	record 10:20,24
preclude 39:16	proposed 12:19	28:21 37:14 41:21	63:4,6,19,20 64:6	17:1 20:18 29:10
preconceived 11:2	20:24 23:16 25:15	42:24	raise 10:14	54:17,22 59:5
prefiled 9:4 10:12	26:21 27:18,22	put 52:1,2,6,8 69:7	raised 65:12	65:13 66:7,9 69:7
11:15 55:7	28:6,9,23 30:23	putting 52:7	Randolph 2:3	reduce 31:7,10
prehearing 66:4	34:6 37:8,8 40:23		Rao 2:13 5:18,20	reduced 14:16

				Page /o
26 20 27 12 20 1	10 10 70 6	56.21.22		1 14 14 22
26:20 27:13 28:1	reporter 10:19 70:6	56:21,23	S	separately 14:14,22
28:5,24 30:24	reporting 37:13	retirement 23:21	S 2:1 3:1 4:1	September 16:1
reducing 28:22	53:4	retroactive 65:1,13	safest 64:13	serve 7:8 8:10
reduction 13:20	reports 50:3,4	review 39:18 44:10	sale 25:14	set 17:9 32:23 40:4
64:21	52:19,20 53:9,13	61:19 66:13,19	Santos 2:14 5:9	57:20 58:13 69:1
refer 60:13	59:24 61:15	reviewing 13:9	8:19 32:15,17	seven 25:20
reference 40:7	repository 50:14	revised 50:1	saying 19:22 25:23	sever 14:20
referring 25:11	represent 10:16	right 7:2,15,16 8:17	33:1,3,3 34:14	severed 31:16
32:6 33:1 40:1	representatives	8:21,22 11:5 12:7	says 64:11 65:7	share 26:8 65:19
refers 22:22 25:12	66:1	13:6 14:6 22:14	scenario 14:11	shorthand 70:6,8
refinement 20:3	request 28:18	23:18 28:14,14	19:13 36:22 56:22	70:10
reflect 28:1,19	35:18,20 41:15,19	34:22,22 38:19	57:1 58:14	shoulder 18:10,11
33:19 54:17	42:21 43:2,7,23	39:19 53:18 54:11	scenarios 56:6	18:12,24 46:4
reflected 34:10	49:24 66:12	54:15 58:8 62:18	scheduled 7:22	49:14
refresh 45:6	require 14:8 22:4	65:17	Schiff 3:14 17:3	shows 62:5,7
regard 11:8 49:24	25:6 65:23 68:1,4	risk 19:2	Scientist 2:13	shut 15:3,22 17:7
regarding 60:21	required 22:6 26:3	RMR 1:11 70:20	seam 30:11	17:15 18:20 19:10
region 56:13	44:6 50:9,13 52:3	Road 3:19	season 34:17 35:2,3	21:21 22:12 23:24
regional 19:11	52:14 53:9 62:3	ROCCAFORTE	seasonality 45:9	24:19 25:17 28:4
regulated 52:23	requirement 50:5	3:5	49:13	29:2 33:11,18,21
regulations 37:11	requirements 37:4	room 44:16		34:15,17,18,20
50:9 51:7	39:18 40:19 44:10	RORY 3:5	seasonally 45:17	35:1,2,19 37:10
regulatory 36:5	51:7 53:4,14	RTO 24:22 25:7	seasons 18:12	37:22 38:6,6,9,11
related 46:22 50:11	57:21 61:18	26:2,4 30:9 35:15	19:10 34:20 48:13	39:4,5,9 41:5,13
70:14	requires 14:2 51:8	35:21	second 14:3 34:3,4	41:18,22,24 42:3
relevant 15:20 16:9	51:12 61:18	rule 13:5,9,12 14:2	34:5	42:20 43:1,9,12
28:19 50:15	requiring 26:2 53:7	23:24 27:9 29:5	section 25:5,20	43:18 48:4 49:4,5
remain 21:21 33:11	Resources 55:9		53:7 63:8,9	,
		29:11 37:7,8 39:3	see 16:18 24:10,24	shut-down 15:2
remained 29:21	respect 16:6	42:19 44:7,11	30:5 32:22 34:22	20:12,21 21:2,3,5
remaining 21:1	Respiratory 55:10	48:8 50:1,13,16	35:21 50:15,23	24:4,5,6 28:3,6
23:12 24:1,2,7	67:3	50:23 57:22 61:18	61:14 69:11	31:23 32:2 41:8
remains 13:10	respond 53:19	65:1 66:3 68:10	Seeing 11:18 40:7	47:6
30:21 31:4,9	response 41:1	rulemaking 1:4	55:12	shutdown 14:19,20
34:18	Responses 9:19	66:1,20	seeking 67:6	15:19 19:4 20:10
remember 60:12,13	40:3	rulemakings 64:21	segregate 23:6	21:24 23:11,23
reminder 10:11	rest 14:15,15 23:6	rules 12:18 22:4	send 24:14,14	24:16,22 25:8,14
reopen 19:11 37:24	31:16,18,21 33:12	23:16 27:4 34:5	sends 21:7 23:1	25:15,21,24 26:1
40:18	restart 40:13	37:7 38:14 41:12	Senior 2:12,13 7:15	26:11,21 27:7,14
reopening 30:6	restarting 39:17	43:3,9 53:9 64:22	8:21	27:14,20,23 28:2
37:18 41:8	restrictions 62:6,9	ruling 69:5	sense 15:18 16:9	28:8,20,21,23,24
repeat 18:21 59:20	restudy 59:19	run 19:1	20:20 26:23,24	29:7,15 32:7
replaced 56:21,24	result 24:8 33:14	running 19:10	sent 22:2 25:7	33:16,20,20 34:2
report 20:12 42:12	66:2	runs 19:2	44:14	34:5,7,9,10 35:14
reported 42:10	resumed 41:20	RYAN 3:17	separate 38:19	39:1,3,16 42:10
58:7 70:7	retired 14:18 21:22		separated 14:13	42:11 44:12 46:23
			scparateu 14.13	
	•	•	•	•

Page 79

				rage 13
47:2,4,12,21	52:4,14	subsections 29:11	take 9:12,15 38:10	9:12,13,16,17
shutdowns 27:2,19	specifics 68:14	29:11	40:16 47:19 54:14	10:1,4 11:3,9,15
34:1 47:14,15,18	specified 22:24	suggest 14:8,18	59:6	12:3,15 13:15
53:12,12 54:3	specify 22:21	24:13 50:2	taken 1:9 54:16	14:10 15:8 20:9
shuts 40:12	spoke 46:4	suggested 12:16	70:8,10	20:11,15,24 21:6
side 15:17	spokesperson	13:15 20:11,14	talk 57:5	23:9 24:13 25:1
Sierra 3:22 9:14	55:16	30:5 38:24 45:15	talked 45:8,8 57:7	55:7,22 56:5,19
54:13 55:11 67:1	spring 18:8 19:4,5	46:22 50:19 63:12	talking 29:7 31:20	57:12 58:14 60:14
signed 10:5,10 69:9	1 0	63:15 65:14		thank 8:8 9:2 10:22
similar 63:14	Springfield 1:14 3:3		34:24 48:6,7,8 49:7 53:4	15:16 16:20 17:16
		suggesting 46:12 55:23		18:11 32:14 49:21
similarly 24:11	SS 70:1		talks 20:9	
situation 23:10	staff 7:20 10:24	Suite 2:3 3:10,15	Tanya 2:18 7:14	50:24 51:18 53:21
six 15:3,4 17:8	stake 33:7	4:3	8:20 55:15	54:11 55:13 58:17
18:16 19:3 28:4	Standards 1:5 7:10	sulfur 57:12	tariff 21:15,17	58:20,22 62:17
30:9 36:11 42:3	8:12 57:19 58:16	summarize 12:2	22:22 30:12	69:12
47:21	start 7:1 25:7,21	59:15,18	technical 7:17 8:23	they'd 37:10
six-month 18:18,19	26:1,11 57:11	summer 18:8,16,24	19:19	thing 48:3 52:10
48:13	started 54:19	19:3	technically 15:7	things 52:6 56:15
slightly 13:15	starting 69:9	supplementary	technologies 56:17	think 7:3 12:24
small 62:20	state 1:12 3:13	20:13	tell 68:11	13:3,4,7,13,23
SO2 30:24 61:19	10:15 67:16 70:1	support 19:20	temporarily 14:19	14:7 15:6,21 16:2
62:14	70:7	67:10	17:14 18:19 21:5	16:5,8,8,15 18:3
somebody 14:1	stated 45:12 56:19	supportive 67:5	24:3,6 25:17 28:5	19:7,18,19 20:1
22:10 23:1 48:22	statement 26:9	supports 67:14	29:2 32:1,23 33:4	20:19,22 21:19,20
somewhat 32:9	54:23 67:2,24	supposed 7:21	33:6,11,21 34:15	22:16,24 25:2,9
48:11	statements 11:4	sure 7:24 19:12	34:18,20 35:16,19	27:5,6,16,18
sorry 15:22 16:4	states 25:16 41:4	24:24 28:14 39:21	37:10,21 39:4,9	30:16,17 31:1
17:2 18:21 26:14	stay 69:10	40:15 52:5 53:13	43:12 48:5 49:5	33:7,8,14 34:8
61:4 63:5 64:2	Stephen 3:11 60:17	64:1	temporary 21:3	37:15 46:20 47:10
66:20 67:17	story 30:19	suspend 35:19	23:23 25:8,13	47:16 49:2,6
sort 49:7 60:4	straight 12:3,5 19:1	suspended 35:16	27:2,13 28:21,23	50:12,20 52:11
source 37:18,19	Street 3:9	suspension 22:22	28:24 29:8,15	53:18,21 61:9
38:5 39:18 44:10	stringent 20:23	23:1 35:13,14	32:6 34:1,4,7,9,10	64:13 65:15 66:8
62:6	40:19	swear 11:12	35:13 39:1,3	66:12
sources 62:14,15	studied 59:22	sworn 11:12,13,21	42:10,11 47:15,18	thinking 26:1 50:7
Souther 3:14	subject 16:11 21:15	36:14,16,18 55:1	47:20 53:12 54:3	Thompson 2:2
spanned 42:8	submit 44:21 65:22	55:5,18 68:5	ten-minute 54:16	thought 50:8,17,18
spans 43:13	submits 28:18	Sylvester 3:11 6:6	term 38:13,21,22	thoughts 19:17
speak 10:18,19	41:15	11:8 12:10 60:16	terms 20:15 21:23	22:17
21:20 27:5 69:9	submittals 52:3	60:17,17,20 65:18	39:6 57:9	thread 46:16
speaking 18:6 21:4	submitted 41:18	67:9 68:19,22	testified 11:22	three 16:13 36:11
29:10	43:2,7 44:21	system 56:11 64:21	36:19 55:19	39:7 42:3,17 43:6
specific 62:9 66:20	52:22 54:1		testifying 68:7	throw 64:19
68:11	subsection 25:4,10	T	testimony 7:21,24	tie 46:16
specifically 16:6	25:12,12,13 65:8	table 8:24	8:1,3 9:3,4,7,10	time 7:1 10:7,9,18
	, , = ===		, , , , , , -)- , -
	-	-	•	-

				Page 80
12:17 13:2,7	21:3 24:10 28:6	21:19,21,23 22:10		43:2,7 44:3
16:10,12,14 17:20	42:11 47:16 49:10	23:6,14,23 24:4,5	W	withdrawal 43:23
17:22 21:10 23:1	treating 49:4	24:6 27:23 28:3	Wacker 3:14 4:2	witness 5:3,14 6:2,4
30:17 32:23 36:10	treatment 33:24	29:2,16,21 30:14	wait 10:14 11:10	11:13,21 28:13
36:24 37:22 39:8	tried 23:9		waiting 19:3	29:8,14 35:6
39:10 42:7 45:8		30:20,21 31:8 32:22 33:4,6,8,18	want 11:4,9 12:3	36:18 55:5,18
57:10 59:22 67:6	trigger 57:18 58:9 58:14 59:14	1 1 1	21:20 24:24 27:5	68:6
timeframe 68:13		33:20,21,21 36:8	28:13 29:13 35:12	witnesses 9:4 36:16
timeframe 59:19	triggers 60:9 true 70:9	36:8,23,23 37:2,5	35:21 43:22 53:11	wonder 15:17
times 48:3		37:9 39:4,17	59:20 67:8 69:6	
	try 23:22 33:6 36:15	40:12 41:8,21	wanted 14:23 20:10	wondering 61:1
timing 58:6		42:15,17 43:17	67:4	65:4
Tipsord 1:10 2:5	trying 14:1 23:8,10	44:1,14 47:5,7	warm 69:10	words 28:14
7:2,7 8:7,9 11:11	53:17	48:4 56:1,1,2	Washington 3:9	work 20:23
12:7,11 16:21	turn 17:24 18:12	units 12:20,21 13:1	way 12:18 15:9	worked 14:4
20:17 28:10 29:9	21:20	13:24 14:3,4,18	19:18 20:2,15,23	working 50:23
36:12 39:24 49:17	two 13:3,14 22:23	14:19,20,21 20:12	21:3,14 31:23	works 20:2 31:23
51:16,19 53:1	23:2,4 24:20	21:2,3,5,9,11 23:7	38:23 42:6 44:5	worried 33:15
58:18 59:1 60:15	30:15 36:24 38:6	23:12 24:2,2,7	44:12 47:14,15	worth 16:19
61:7 62:18 63:2,5	38:9 43:6 44:15	28:6 30:6 31:24	48:22 50:21	wouldn't 23:15
63:17 64:3,16	45:8,11 48:9,12	32:2 48:2 55:24	ways 56:23	35:24 42:11 57:14
66:14,21 67:7,15	49:6 68:12	56:1	we'll 9:15,22 11:6	wrap 53:17
68:8,16,20,24	two-month 24:21	use 17:6 23:13 44:8	43:19 51:15 52:8	writing 25:20 40:6
TIPSWORD 12:1	type 27:7 37:15,19	44:19 63:14 64:10	54:12 61:14 65:18	69:4
16:24 26:14 54:9	types 51:9	USEPA 38:5 60:6	69:11	written 20:15 28:18
55:3 67:20	typically 18:3,13	utility 40:13	we're 7:3,5 8:4	41:15
Title 36:9	U	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	29:10 31:20 44:8	wrong 27:16
today 7:11,22 8:14	U-Jung 2:15,20	value 26:19	49:4,6 53:4 54:21	<u>X</u>
9:17 10:5,12 31:8	7:19 8:17	variation 16:14	61:8 65:4 68:9	\overline{X} 5:1 6:1
31:12 59:5 60:23 64:20 67:1 68:18	Uh-huh 16:7 46:11	48:9,10,24	69:3	
69:2	unable 56:20	varies 45:16	we've 39:24 65:10	Y
today's 9:3	unaware 51:14	variety 56:15	66:5	yeah 41:4 43:19
tonight 69:9	understand 17:6	various 62:14	website 50:3 51:10	48:17,18 54:24
tonight 69.9	43:22 49:9,16	vary 46:2	52:2,6,8,12	67:9 68:24
total 18:16 19:3	understanding	vehicle 52:15	websites 50:10 51:8	year 14:12 16:11
total 18.10 19.3	29:5 58:8	versus 18:24 30:18	52:18	16:14,17 17:17,20
track 27:3,8	unfortunately 36:2	35:14	weigh 67:8	19:16,23 23:12
transcript 1:9	66:16 68:13	Vickers 4:4 5:11	welcome 22:15	28:4 29:4 33:16
66:10 70:10	unit 7:17 8:23	35:8,8,11 67:11	West 2:3 3:9	34:1,3,4,5,10,16
transfer 63:9,12,24	12:17 13:6,9,17	violation 57:18	whatsoever 47:6	37:1 43:5,6,6
transfers 53:11	13:17,20 14:11,14	58:15 59:14	Wilmette 3:20	44:23 46:2 47:21
54:4	14:17 15:2,3	violations 57:6,13	winter 18:7,16,24 19:3	48:3,9,11,20,24
transmission 19:11	16:10,12,16,19	Vistra 17:3 30:1	wish 9:24 36:13	60:1,2 63:11
treat 47:14,15	17:14 18:15 19:2	66:1	66:22	year's 16:18
treated 13:19 14:4	19:8 20:21 21:7		withdraw 41:16	year/day 47:23
			withuraw 41.10	
	•		•	•

Page 81

T				
years 38:6,9 39:7	20 5:7	3250 60:22 65:21		
42:17,18 44:16	2016 30:2 33:2,9	34 5:10	7	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2018 9:19 11:15		7 5:2	
64:8,9		35 1:4 4:2 5:11 7:9	7-8 20:9	
$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	40:5	8:12	75 56:3	
Zalewski 2:11	2019 1:15 30:22	36 5:15 21:10 35:15	0	
54:18	33:12 65:9 70:9	36-month 21:17	8	
34.10	70:17	365 48:19	8 20:9 57:4,4	
0	2020 27:21,23 28:2	366 48:19	80 56:2	
084.2149 70:21	29:3,16,17,19	38 5:16	85 55:24	
	2021 29:4,20	39 5:17	9	
1	21 29:12	4		
1 12:10 41:17 56:2	217-782-5544 3:4	-	922:18	
64:11 65:9	225.223(f)(3) 25:5	4 12:15 40:4	9-16 57:5	
1:37 69:13	225.233 1:4 7:10	40 5:18	99.9 31:6	
10 9:19 11:15 14:9	8:12	41 5:19		
10:00 1:15 7:23 9:6	225.233(a)(4) 65:7	45 5:12 66:12 68:17		
10:06 7:1	225.233(f)(1)(a)	68:18,19,20 69:2		
10:09 8:5	63:8	48 11:17,18		
100 2:3	225.233(g)(1)(a)	49 5:13 40:6,7 63:4		
1002 .5 1004 3:19	40:24	63:5		
1004 5:15 1021 1:13 3:2	225.233(g)(1)(c)	4th 70:17		
10th 40:2	46:22	5		
11 14:9	233 3:14			
11-500 2:3	233.225(f)(3) 53:7	5 36:9		
11-300 2.3 12 5:4 9:9 21:5 25:2	26 5:8	5:30 10:8 69:10,11		
25:3	29 57:17	50 17:9 55:11,12		
12-month 23:5	2930 63:18	63:3		
12-month 23.3 12:00 8:6	2931 20:18 63:19	51 5:20,21		
13 25:2	64:5	53 5:22,23		
	29th 1:14 70:8	55 6:3		
14 66:7,9	2nd 29:3	59 6:5		
15 5:5 57:5 58:3,9		5th 44:15		
58:13 59:13 60:9	3	6		
60:11 61:20,21	3 25:4,11,12,15			
15th 23:24 24:5,6	30:1,20,21 31:3,8	6 38:3,4 39:15		
33:19,22 34:15,18	31:11,15,16,21	55:22		
35:1	33:1,8,10 38:11	60 6:6		
1600 4:3	38:11 39:6 56:1	60091 3:20		
17 5:6 28:11,11	63:1	60601 4:3		
29:12	312-258-5769 3:16	60601-3233 2:4		
1800 3:10	312-282-9119 3:20	60602 3:10		
19276 3:3	312-814-0600 3:11	60607 3:15		
1st 14:11 48:5 60:1	312-814-6983 2:4	62 6:7,8		
2	31st 44:14,22	62794-9276 3:3		
	32 5:9	6600 3:15		
2 29:16 56:2 62:24		69 3:9		
		I	1 I	